



UNIVERSITY OF SAINT JOSEPH

C O N N E C T I C U T

Annual Report to the CT General Assembly, Higher Education Committee
Pursuant to Sec. 10a-55m(f). Sexual assault, stalking and intimate partner violence policies.
Affirmative consent. Prevention and awareness programming and campaigns. Anonymous
reporting and disclosure. Notification of victim's rights and options. Report.

October 1, 2022

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Mission Statement	3
3. Memorandums of Understanding	3
4. Anonymous Reporting Options	3
5. Reportable Policies and Data	4
A. Policies	4
1. Student Sexual Misconduct Policy and Rights of Parties	4
2. Concise Written Notification for employees and students	
3. Employee Policies on Sexual Misconduct and Title IX, Harassment & Discrimination, and Dating	4
B. Sexual Assault, Stalking and Intimate Partner Violence Prevention, Awareness, and Risk Reduction Programs and Campaigns	5
C. Incidents Reported to the University and Final Outcomes	5
6. Department of Public Safety Training	5

Appendices

- A. Title IX 34 C.F.R. 106.4
- B. Concise Written Notification of Rights and Options
- C. Employee Policy Harassment & Discrimination
- D. Employee Policy on Dating
- E. Sexual Assault, Stalking and Intimate Partner Violence Prevention,
 Awareness, and Risk Reduction Programs and Campaigns
- F. Incidents Reported to the University and Final Outcomes

Introduction

The University of Saint Joseph has prepared and submitted the following annual report to the Connecticut General Assembly Higher Education Committee in accordance with Connecticut General Statute, 10a-55m Sexual assault, stalking and intimate partner violence policies. Affirmative consent. Prevention and awareness programming and campaigns. Anonymous reporting and disclosure. Notification of victim's rights and options. Report.

Mission Statement

The University of Saint Joseph, founded by the Sisters of Mercy in the Roman Catholic tradition, provides a rigorous liberal arts and professional education for a diverse student population while maintaining a strong commitment to developing the potential of women. The University is a community that promotes the growth of the whole person in a caring environment that encourages strong ethical values, personal integrity, and a sense of responsibility to the needs of society.

Memorandums of Understanding

The University of Saint Joseph maintains Memorandums of Understanding with New Britain YWCA Sexual Assault Center and Interval House of Hartford. The Memorandums of Understanding with both organizations provide educational services, advocacy, guidance and support for students and employees who have experienced sexual and/or intimate partner violence. Additionally, both the New Britain YWCA and Interval House have assigned staff to attend our Resource meetings and staff informational tables targeted to students periodically throughout the year. Original MOU's were signed in 2014 and documented in the 2015 report.

Anonymous Reporting Options

The University of Saint Joseph has three anonymous reporting options. Public Safety monitors one Anonymous Reporting Tip Line (860-231-5742). The University maintains a Tell Somebody Report that can be filed online for USJ community members; they have the option to make an anonymous report. The tell somebody reports are maintained via the USJ Maxient case management system and are routed to the appropriate individual based on the concern stated, Title IX reports are routed to the Title IX Coordinator. The Director of Human Resources/Deputy Title IX Coordinator, monitors EthicsPoint Inc. (855-297-5106 toll free) the third anonymous reporting option.

Reportable Policies and Data

In accordance with PA 14-11 Section 2, The 10a-55m (f) 1-8 report is organized into 3 sections:

A. Policies

1. Sexual Misconduct Policy & Rights of Parties

The University of Saint Joseph maintains an on record sexual misconduct policy, a commitment to investigation, support, and protection of involved parties. This policy is included in this document Appendix A relating to Section 10a-55m (f) (1 & 2):

- 2021/2022 University of Saint Joseph Title IX Policy and Procedure Manual, 34 CFR 106 Title IX Policy <https://www.usj.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/21.22-USJ-Active-TIX-Policy.pdf>

The University of Saint Joseph distributes information regarding the University's Title IX policy as well as Victim's Rights through:

- Yearly email
- University of Saint Joseph Student Handbook.
- Information and links to policy listed on USJ websites, including Public Safety, Health Services, Counseling and Wellness Center and Title IX.
- Full policy located on www.usj.edu website.
- Social media, brochures, and handouts.

2. Concise Written Notification to Student and Employees

The University maintains a [Your Rights and Options After a Title IX incident](#) brochure to easily explain rights and options for reporting and resources. This is found in Appendix B.

4. Employee Policies on Sexual Misconduct & Title IX, Harassment & Discrimination, and Dating

Human Resources distributes, in a yearly email, their Annual Notification of Employee Policies including the Policy on Harassment and Discrimination, Policy on Sexual Misconduct and Title IX, and Policy on Dating. These policies are included in this document Appendices C-E relating to Section 10a-55m (f) (1 & 2):

B. Sexual Assault, Stalking and Intimate Partner Violence Prevention, Awareness, and Risk Reduction Programs and Campaigns

Throughout the year, University of Saint Joseph provides programs and campaigns on the topics of sexual assault, stalking and intimate partner violence prevention, awareness, and risk reduction targeted to the University community, students, and employees. The University also employs Sexual Assault Prevention training via EverFi online modules for

both students and employees. Attached is the following Appendix F relating to Section 10a-55m (f) (3 & 4):

- A chart describing the list of programs and campaigns facilitated at University of Saint Joseph during the calendar year 2020.

C. Incidents Reported to the University and Outcomes

The annual Uniform Campus Crime Report was prepared in accordance with Connecticut General Statutes Public Act No. 14-11. The report records those crimes committed in the immediately preceding calendar year (2021) within the geographical limits of the property owned or under the control of the University of Saint Joseph located at 1678 Asylum Avenue in West Hartford. The Uniform Campus Crime Report is located at <https://www.usj.edu/student-life/public-safety/>.

Attached is the following Appendix E relating to Section 10a-55m (f) (5, 6, 7, & 8) with statistics from the University of Saint Joseph 2021 annual Uniform Campus Crime Report and the Title IX coordinator:

- A chart listing number of incidences of sexual assault, intimate partner violence, and stalking on campus. This chart also includes the number of confidential reports/anonymous disclosures, disciplinary cases, and their final outcomes.

Department of Public Safety Training

Public Safety Officers now utilize our online Student Conduct Institute Membership for Title IX and Clery training. As the pandemic recedes, this training is being supplemented with in-person trainings in addition to the online courses available.

Appendix A

The crest of the University of Saint Joseph is a shield-shaped emblem. It features a central gold cross with a white base, set against a blue background. The cross is flanked by two white, stylized figures that resemble angels or saints. Below the cross is a gold crown with three points. The entire crest is surrounded by a gold border.

University of Saint Joseph Title IX Policy and
Procedure Manual,
34 C.F.R. 106

**ATIXA 2020 INTERIM MODEL SEXUAL HARASSMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
USE AND ADAPTATION OF THIS MODEL WITH CITATION TO ATIXA IS PERMITTED
THROUGH A LIMITED LICENSE
TO UNIVERSITY OF ST JOSEPH.
ALL OTHER RIGHTS RESERVED.
©2020. ATIXA**

POLICY: Sexual Harassment, Including Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, Domestic Violence, Stalking, and Retaliation

1. Glossary

- *Advisor* means a person chosen by a party or appointed by the institution to accompany the party to meetings related to the resolution process, to advise the party on that process, and to conduct cross-examination for the party at the hearing, if any.
- *Complainant* means an individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment, or discrimination based on a protected class; or retaliation for engaging in a protected activity.
- *Complaint (formal)* means a document submitted or signed by a Complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment or retaliation for engaging in a protected activity against a Respondent and requesting that the University of Saint Joseph investigate the allegation.
- *Confidential Resource* means an employee who is not a Mandated Reporter of harassment and/or retaliation (irrespective of Clery Act Campus Security Authority status).
- *Day* means a business day when the University of Saint Joseph is in normal operation.
- *Education program or activity* means locations, events, or circumstances where University of Saint Joseph exercises substantial control over both the Respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment occurs and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the University of Saint Joseph.
- *Final Determination*: A conclusion by preponderance of evidence that the alleged conduct did or did not violate policy.
- *Finding*: A conclusion by preponderance of evidence that the conduct did or did not occur as alleged (as in a “finding of fact”).
- *Formal Grievance Process* means “Process A,” a method of formal resolution designated by the University of Saint Joseph to address conduct that falls within the policies included below, and which complies with the requirements of the Title IX regulations (34 CFR §106.45).
- *Grievance Process Pool* includes any investigators, hearing officers, appeal officers, and Advisors who may perform any or all of these roles (though not at the same time or with respect to the same case).
- *Hearing Decision-maker* refers to those who have decision-making and sanctioning authority within the University of Saint Joseph’s Formal Grievance process.
- *Investigator* means the person or persons charged by a University of Saint Joseph with gathering facts about an alleged violation of this Policy, assessing relevance and credibility, synthesizing the evidence, and compiling this information into an investigation report and file of directly related evidence.

- *Mandated Reporter* means an employee of the University of Saint Joseph who is obligated by policy to share knowledge, notice, and/or reports of harassment and/or retaliation with the Title IX Coordinator.¹
- *Notice* means that an employee, student, or third-party informs the Title IX Coordinator or other Official with Authority of the alleged occurrence of harassing, discriminatory, and/or retaliatory conduct.
- *Official with Authority (OWA)* means an employee of the University of Saint Joseph explicitly vested with the responsibility to implement corrective measures for sexual harassment and/or retaliation on behalf of the University of Saint Joseph.
- *Parties* include the Complainant(s) and Respondent(s), collectively.
- *Process A* means the Formal Grievance Process detailed below and defined above.
- *Process B* means any process designated by the University of Saint Joseph to apply only when Process A does not, as determined by the Title IX Coordinator.
- *University of Saint Joseph* means a postsecondary education program that is recipient of federal funding.
- *Remedies* are post-finding actions directed to the Complainant and/or the community as mechanisms to address safety, prevent recurrence, and restore access to the University of Saint Joseph's educational program.
- *Respondent* means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment or retaliation for engaging in a protected activity.
- *Resolution* means the result of an Informal or Formal Grievance Process.
- *Sanction* means a consequence imposed by the University of Saint Joseph on a Respondent who is found to have violated this policy.
- *Sexual Harassment* is the umbrella category including the offenses of sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, and dating violence and domestic violence. [See the definition section](#), for greater detail.
- *Title IX Coordinator* is at least one official designated by the University of Saint Joseph to ensure compliance with Title IX and the University of Saint Joseph's Title IX program. References to the Coordinator throughout this policy may also encompass a designee of the Coordinator for specific tasks.
- *Title IX Team* refers to the Title IX Coordinator, any deputy coordinators, and any member of the Grievance Process Pool.

¹ Not to be confused with those mandated by state law to report child abuse, elder abuse, and/or abuse of individuals with disabilities to appropriate officials, though these responsibilities may overlap with those who have mandated reporting responsibility in this Policy.

2. Rationale for Policy

University of Saint Joseph is committed to providing a workplace and educational environment, as well as other benefits, programs, and activities that are free from sexual harassment and retaliation. To ensure compliance with federal and state civil rights laws and regulations, and to affirm its commitment to promoting the goals of fairness and equity in all aspects of the educational program or activity, University of Saint Joseph has developed internal policies and procedures that provide a prompt, fair, and impartial process for those involved in an allegation sexual harassment or retaliation. University of Saint Joseph values and upholds the equal dignity of all members of its community and strives to balance the rights of the parties in the grievance process during what is often a difficult time for all those involved

3. Applicable Scope

The core purpose of this policy is the prohibition of sexual harassment and retaliation. When an alleged violation of this policy is reported, the allegations are subject to resolution using University of Saint Joseph's "Process A" or "Process B," as determined by the Title IX Coordinator, and as detailed below.

When the Respondent is a member of the University of Saint Joseph community, a grievance process may be available regardless of the status of the Complainant, who may or may not be a member of the University of Saint Joseph community. This community includes, but is not limited to, students,² student organizations, faculty, administrators, staff, and third parties such as guests, visitors, volunteers, invitees, and campers.

The procedures below may be applied to incidents, to patterns, and/or to the campus climate, all of which may be addressed and investigated in accordance with this policy.

4. Title IX Coordinator

The Title IX Coordinator oversees implementation of this policy. The Title IX Coordinator has the primary responsibility for coordinating University of Saint Joseph's efforts related to the intake, investigation, resolution, and implementation of supportive measures to stop, remediate, and prevent sexual harassment, and retaliation prohibited under this policy.

5. Independence and Conflict-of-Interest

The Title IX Coordinator, manages the Deputy Coordinators and acts with independence and authority free from bias and conflicts of interest. The Title IX Coordinator oversees all resolutions under this policy and these procedures.

The members of the Title IX Team are vetted and trained to ensure they are not biased for or against any party in a specific case, or for or against Complainants and/or Respondents, generally.

² For the purpose of this policy, the University of Saint Joseph defines "student" as any individual who has accepted an offer of admission, or who is registered or enrolled for credit or non-credit bearing coursework, and who maintains an ongoing relationship with the University of Saint Joseph.

To raise any concern involving bias or conflict of interest by the Title IX Coordinator, contact the University of Saint Joseph President (860) 231-5221. Concerns of bias or a potential conflict of interest by any other Title IX Team member should be raised with the Title IX Coordinator.

Reports of misconduct committed by the Title IX Coordinator should be reported to the University of Saint Joseph President (860) 231-5221 or designee. Reports of misconduct committed by any other Title IX Team member should be reported to the Title IX Coordinator.

6. Administrative Contact Information

Complaints or notice of alleged policy violations, or inquiries about or concerns regarding this policy and procedures, may be made internally to:

Rayna Dyton-White, Title IX Coordinator
Office of Title IX/Diversity and Inclusion
Lourdes Hall 18s
(860) 231-5499
Email: titleIX@usj.edu

Web: <https://www.usj.edu/student-life/campus-safety/title-ix/>

- Deb Spencer, Deputy Title IX Coordinator
Role: Employee/Volunteer incidents, Informal Resolutions, Investigations
- Paul Lovoi, Deputy Title IX Coordinator Gengras Center
Role: Gengras Center complaints.

The University of Saint Joseph has determined that the following administrators are Officials with Authority to address and correct sexual harassment and/or retaliation. In addition to the Title IX Team members listed above, these Officials with Authority listed below may also accept notice or complaints on behalf of the University of Saint Joseph:

VP Student Affairs (or designee): Ken Bedini (860) 231-5430

Accessibility Coordinator: Jennifer Boylan (860) 231-5481

Director and Ass. Director of Public Safety: Derrick McBride (860) 231-5396

Director of Residence Life: Angela Marchio (860) 231-5620

Director of Athletics: Amanda Devitt (860) 231-5322

CFO/VP Risk Management: Lucy Lucker (860) 231- 5520

Human Resources: Deborah Spencer (860) 231-5390

Office of the Provost and/or Disability Services: Jennifer Boylan (860) 231-5481

Deans: Please reference www.myusj.edu for a list of current deans and contact information

University of Saint Joseph has also classified all employees as Mandated Reporters of any knowledge they have that a member of the community is experiencing sexual harassment and/or retaliation.

The section below on Mandated Reporting details which employees have this responsibility and their duties, accordingly.

Inquiries may be made externally to:

Office for Civil Rights (OCR)
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20202-1100
Customer Service Hotline #: (800) 421-3481
Facsimile: (202) 453-6012
TDD#: (877) 521-2172
Email: OCR@ed.gov
Web: <http://www.ed.gov/ocr>

Office for Civil Rights,
Boston Office
U.S. Department of Education
8th Floor
5 Post Office Square
Boston, MA 02109-3921
Telephone: (617) 289-0111
Facsimile: (617) 289-0150
Email: OCR.Boston@ed.gov

Oversees: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont

For complaints involving employees: [Equal Employment Opportunity Commission](#) (EEOC) ³
JFK Federal Building
15 New Sudbury Street, Room 475
Boston, MA 02203-0506
United States

Phone: [1-800-669-4000](tel:1-800-669-4000)
Fax: [617-565-3196](tel:617-565-3196)
TTY: [1-800-669-6820](tel:1-800-669-6820)

7. Notice/Complaints of Sexual Harassment and/or Retaliation

Notice or complaints of sexual harassment and/or retaliation may be made using any of the following options:

1) File a complaint with, or give verbal notice to, the Title IX Coordinator, Deputies, or Officials with Authority. Such a report may be made at any time (including during non-business hours) by using the telephone number or email address, or by mail to the office address, listed for the Title IX Coordinator or any other official listed: Departments with Officials with Authority may be found here:

VP Student Affairs, or designee
Accessibility Coordinator
Director and Ass. Director of Public Safety
Director of Residence Life
Director of Athletics
CFO/VP Risk Management

³ EEOC has jurisdiction over Title IX employment claims.

2) Report online, using the Tell Somebody reporting form posted at MyUSJ, [https://my.usj.edu/ICS/Tell Somebody Report/](https://my.usj.edu/ICS/Tell_Somebody_Report/). Anonymous reports are accepted but can give rise to a need to investigate. The University of Saint Joseph tries to provide supportive measures to all Complainants, which is impossible with an anonymous report. Because reporting carries no obligation to initiate a formal response, and as the University of Saint Joseph respects Complainant requests to dismiss complaints unless there is a compelling threat to health and/or safety, the Complainant is largely in control and should not fear a loss of privacy by making a report that allows the University of Saint Joseph to discuss and/or provide supportive measures.

3) Report using the public safety 24/7 line- (860) 231-5222

A Formal Complaint means a document submitted or signed by the Complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging a policy violation by a Respondent and requesting that the University of Saint Joseph investigate the allegation(s).

A complaint may be filed with the Title IX Coordinator in person, by mail, or by electronic mail, by using the contact information in the section immediately above, or as described in this section. As used in this paragraph, the phrase “document filed by a Complainant” means a document or electronic submission that contains the Complainant’s physical or digital signature, or otherwise indicates that the Complainant is the person filing the complaint, and requests that the University of Saint Joseph investigate the allegations.

If notice is submitted in a form that does not meet this standard, the Title IX Coordinator will contact the Complainant to ensure that it is filed correctly.

8. Supportive Measures

University of Saint Joseph will offer and implement appropriate and reasonable supportive measures to the parties upon notice of alleged sexual harassment and/or retaliation.

Supportive measures are non-disciplinary, non-punitive individualized services offered as appropriate, as reasonably available, and without fee or charge to the parties to restore or preserve access to the University of Saint Joseph’s education program or activity, including measures designed to protect the safety of all parties or the University of Saint Joseph’s educational environment, and/or deter sexual harassment and/or retaliation.

The Title IX Coordinator promptly makes supportive measures available to the parties upon receiving notice or a complaint. At the time that supportive measures are offered, the University of Saint Joseph will inform the Complainant, in writing, that they may file a formal complaint with the University of Saint Joseph either at that time or in the future, if they have not done so already.

The Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant to ensure that their wishes are taken into account with respect to the supportive measures that are planned and implemented.

The University of Saint Joseph will maintain the privacy of the supportive measures, provided that privacy does not impair the University of Saint Joseph's ability to provide the supportive measures. University of Saint Joseph will act to ensure as minimal an academic/occupational impact on the parties as possible.

The University of Saint Joseph will implement measures in a way that does not unreasonably burden the other party.

These actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling, medical, and/or other healthcare services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Referral to community-based service providers
- Student financial aid counseling
- Education to the institutional community or community subgroup(s)
- Altering campus housing assignment(s)
- Altering work arrangements for employees or student-employees
- Safety planning
- Providing campus safety escorts
- Providing transportation accommodations
- Implementing contact limitations (no contact orders) between the parties
- Academic support, extensions of deadlines, or other course/program-related adjustments
- Trespass, Persona Non Grata (PNG), or Be-On-the-Lookout (BOLO) orders
- [Timely warnings](#)
- Class schedule modifications, withdrawals, or leaves of absence
- Increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus
- Any other actions deemed appropriate by the Title IX Coordinator or Deputy

Violations of no contact orders will be referred to appropriate student or employee conduct processes for enforcement.

9. Emergency Removal

The University of Saint Joseph can act to remove a student Respondent entirely or partially from its education program or activities on an emergency basis when an individualized safety and risk analysis has determined that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual justifies removal.

This risk analysis is performed by the Title IX Coordinator in conjunction with the Community Assistance Team using its standard objective violence risk assessment procedures.

In all cases in which an emergency removal is imposed, the student will be given notice of the action and the option to request to meet with the Title IX Coordinator prior to such action/removal being imposed, or as soon thereafter as reasonably possible, to show cause why the action/removal should not be implemented or should be modified.

This meeting is not a hearing on the merits of the allegation(s), but rather is an administrative process intended to determine solely whether the emergency removal is appropriate.

When this meeting is not requested within 48 hours, objections to the emergency removal will be deemed waived. A Complainant and their Advisor may be permitted to participate in this meeting if the Title IX Coordinator determines it is equitable to do so.

This section also applies to any restrictions that a coach or athletic administrator may place on a student-athlete arising from allegations related to Title IX. There is no appeal process for emergency removal decisions.

A Respondent may be accompanied by an Advisor of their choice when meeting with the Title IX Coordinator for the show cause meeting. The Respondent will be given access to a written summary of the basis for the emergency removal prior to the meeting to allow for adequate preparation.

The Title IX Coordinator has sole discretion under this policy to implement or stay an emergency removal and to determine the conditions and duration. Violation of an emergency removal under this policy will be grounds for discipline, which may include expulsion. Local police may be contacted to assist with this matter, as a private institution, a person who returns or remains on University property after being removed is subject to criminal trespass.

The University of Saint Joseph will implement the least restrictive emergency actions possible in light of the circumstances and safety concerns. As determined by the Title IX Coordinator, these actions could include, but are not limited to: removing a student from a residence hall, restricting a student's or employee's access to or use of facilities or equipment, allowing a student to withdraw or take grades of incomplete without financial penalty, authorizing an administrative leave, and suspending a student's participation in extracurricular activities, student employment, student organizational leadership, or intercollegiate/intramural athletics.

At the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, alternative coursework options may be pursued to ensure as minimal an academic impact as possible on the parties.

Where the Respondent is an employee, existing provisions for interim action are applicable.

10. Promptness

All allegations are acted upon promptly by University of Saint Joseph once it has received notice or a formal complaint. Complaints can take 60-90 business days to resolve, typically. There are always exceptions and extenuating circumstances that can cause a resolution to take longer, but the University of Saint Joseph will avoid all undue delays within its control.

Any time the general timeframes for resolution outlined in University of Saint Joseph procedures will be delayed, University of Saint Joseph will provide written notice to the parties of the delay, the cause of the delay, and an estimate of the anticipated additional time that will be needed as a result of the delay.

11. Privacy

Every effort is made by the University of Saint Joseph to preserve the privacy of reports.⁴ University of Saint Joseph will not share the identity of any individual who has made a report or

⁴ For the purpose of this policy, privacy and confidentiality have distinct meanings. **Privacy** means that information related to a complaint will be shared with a limited number of University of Saint Joseph employees who "need to know" in order to assist in the assessment, investigation, and resolution of the

complaint of harassment or retaliation; any Complainant, any individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of sexual harassment or retaliation, any Respondent, or any witness, except as permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. 1232g; FERPA regulations, 34 CFR part 99; or as required by law; or to carry out the purposes of 34 CFR Part 106, including the conducting of any investigation, hearing, or grievance proceeding arising under these policies and procedures.

The University of Saint Joseph reserves the right to determine which University of Saint Joseph officials have a legitimate educational interest in being informed about incidents that fall within this policy, pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).

Only a small group of officials who need to know will typically be told about the complaint, including but not limited to: Title IX Office, Division of Student Affairs, Counseling and Wellness, Risk Management, University of Saint Joseph Police, and the Behavioral Intervention/Threat Assessment Team.

Information will be shared as necessary with Investigators, Hearing Panel members/Decision-makers, witnesses, and the parties. The circle of people with this knowledge will be kept as tight as possible to preserve the parties' rights and privacy.

The University of Saint Joseph may contact parents/guardians to inform them of situations in which there is a significant and articulable health and/or safety risk but will usually consult with the student first before doing so.

Confidentiality and mandated reporting are addressed more specifically [below](#).

12. Jurisdiction of the University of Saint Joseph

This policy applies to the education program and activities of the University of Saint Joseph, to conduct that takes place on the campus or on property owned or controlled by the University of Saint Joseph, at University of Saint Joseph-sponsored events, or in buildings owned or controlled by

report. All employees who are involved in the University of Saint Joseph's response to notice under this policy receive specific training and guidance about sharing and safeguarding private information in accordance with state and federal law. The privacy of student education records will be protected in accordance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), as outlined in the University of Saint Joseph's Student Records Policy. The privacy of employee records will be protected in accordance with Human Resources policies. **Confidentiality** exists in the context of laws that protect certain relationships, including those who provide services related to medical and clinical care, mental health providers, counselors, and ordained clergy. The law creates a privilege between certain health care providers, mental health care providers, attorneys, clergy, spouses, and others, with their patients, clients, parishioners, and spouses. The University of Saint Joseph has designated individuals who have the ability to have privileged communications as Confidential Resources. For more information about Confidential Resources, see page 26. When information is shared by a Complainant with a Confidential Resource, the Confidential Resource cannot reveal the information to any third party except when an applicable law or a court order requires or permits disclosure of such information. For example, information may be disclosed when: (i) the individual gives written consent for its disclosure; (ii) there is a concern that the individual will likely cause serious physical harm to self or others; or (iii) the information concerns conduct involving suspected abuse or neglect of a minor under the age of 18, elders, or individuals with disabilities. Non-identifiable information may be shared by Confidential Resources for statistical tracking purposes as required by the federal Clergy Act. Other information may be shared as required by law.

University of Saint Joseph's recognized student organizations. The Respondent must be a member of University of Saint Joseph's community in order for its policies to apply.

This policy can also be applicable to the effects of off-campus misconduct that effectively deprive someone of access to University of Saint Joseph's educational program. The University of Saint Joseph may also extend jurisdiction to off-campus and/or to online conduct when the Title IX Coordinator determines that the conduct affects a substantial University of Saint Joseph interest.

Regardless of where the conduct occurred, the University of Saint Joseph will address notice/complaints to determine whether the conduct occurred in the context of its employment or educational program or activity and/or has continuing effects on campus or in an off-campus sponsored program or activity. A substantial University of Saint Joseph interest includes:

- a. Any action that constitutes a criminal offense as defined by law. This includes, but is not limited to, single or repeat violations of any local, state, or federal law;
- b. Any situation in which it is determined that the Respondent poses an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual;
- c. Any situation that significantly impinges upon the rights, property, or achievements of oneself or others or significantly breaches the peace and/or causes social disorder; and/or
- d. Any situation that is detrimental to the educational interests or mission of the University of Saint Joseph.
- e. Behavior that demonstrates disregard for the University Core Values, and/or community expectations of the University of Saint Joseph

If the Respondent is unknown or is not a member of the University of Saint Joseph community, the Title IX Coordinator will assist the Complainant in identifying appropriate campus and local resources and support options and/or, when criminal conduct is alleged, in contacting local or campus law enforcement if the individual would like to file a police report.

Further, even when the Respondent is not a member of the University of Saint Joseph's community, supportive measures, remedies, and resources may be accessible to the Complainant by contacting the Title IX Coordinator or deputies.

In addition, the University of Saint Joseph may take other actions as appropriate to protect the Complainant against third parties, such as barring individuals from University of Saint Joseph property and/or events.

All vendors serving the University of Saint Joseph through third-party contracts are subject to the policies and procedures of their employers, or to these policies and procedures to which their employer has agreed to be bound by their contracts.

When the Respondent is enrolled in or employed by another institution, the Title IX Coordinator can assist the Complainant with contacting the appropriate individual at that institution, as it may be possible to allege violations through that institution's policies.

Similarly, the Title IX Coordinator may be able to assist and support a student or employee Complainant who experiences sexual harassment or retaliation in an externship, study abroad program, or other environment external to the University of Saint Joseph where sexual harassment policies and procedures of the facilitating or host organization may give recourse to the Complainant.

13. Time Limits on Reporting

There is no time limitation on providing notice/complaints to the Title IX Coordinator. However, if the Respondent is no longer subject to the University of Saint Joseph's jurisdiction and/or significant time has passed, the ability to investigate, respond, and provide remedies may be more limited or impossible.

Acting on notice/complaints significantly impacted by the passage of time (including, but not limited to, the rescission or revision of policy) is at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, who may document allegations for future reference, offer supportive measures and/or remedies, and/or engage in informal or formal action, as appropriate.

When notice/complaint is affected by significant time delay, the University of Saint Joseph will typically apply the policy in place at the time of the alleged misconduct and the procedures in place at the time of notice/complaint.

14. Online Sexual Harassment and/or Retaliation

The policies of University of Saint Joseph are written and interpreted broadly to include online manifestations of any of the behaviors prohibited below, when those behaviors occur in or have an effect on the University of Saint Joseph's education program and activities or use University of Saint Joseph networks, technology, or equipment.

Although University of Saint Joseph may not control websites, social media, and other venues in which harassing communications are made, when such communications are reported to University of Saint Joseph, it will engage in a variety of means to address and mitigate the effects.

Members of the community are encouraged to be good digital citizens and to refrain from online misconduct, such as feeding anonymous gossip sites, sharing inappropriate content via social media, unwelcome sexual or sex-based messaging, distributing or threatening to distribute revenge pornography, breaches of privacy, or otherwise using the ease of transmission and/or anonymity of the Internet or other technology to harm another member of the University of Saint Joseph community.

University of Saint Joseph: Any online posting or other electronic communication by students, including cyber-bullying, cyber-stalking, cyber-harassment, etc., occurring completely outside of the University of Saint Joseph's control (e.g., not on University of Saint Joseph networks, websites, or between University of Saint Joseph email accounts) will only be subject to this policy when such online conduct can be shown to cause a substantial in-program disruption or infringement on the rights of others.

Otherwise, such communications are considered speech protected by the First Amendment. Supportive measures for Complainants will be provided, but protected speech cannot legally be subjected to discipline.

Off-campus harassing speech by employees, whether online or in person, may be regulated by the University of Saint Joseph only when such speech is made in an employee's official or work-related capacity.

15. Policy on Nondiscrimination

STATEMENT OF NON-DISCRIMINATION: The University of Saint Joseph is accredited by the New England Commission of Higher Education and the State of Connecticut Board of Higher Education. The University of Saint Joseph, including the Gengras Center and the School for Young Children, prohibits discrimination against any persons on account of their race, color, religious creed, age, sex, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, transgender status, marital status, national origin, ancestry, disability (including, but not limited to, intellectual disability, present or past history of mental disorder, learning disability, or physical disability), genetic information, homelessness, prior conviction of a crime, or any other characteristic protected by law, in the administration of its educational policies, admissions policies, scholarship and loan programs, and employment practices (unless there is a bona fide occupational qualification related to employment). Inquiries concerning the University's non-discrimination policies may be referred to Rayna Dyton-White, Director of Diversity and Inclusion/Title IX coordinator, telephone 860.231.5499 or email titleIX@usj.edu, or to Deborah Spencer, Human Resources director/Deputy Title IX coordinator, telephone 860.231.5390, or to the U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, 8th Floor, Five Post Office Square, Boston MA 02109, telephone 617.289.0111, TDD 800.877.8339, fax 617.289.0150, or email ocr.boston@ed.gov.

16. Definition of Sexual Harassment

The Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), and the State of Connecticut regard Sexual Harassment as an unlawful discriminatory practice.

University of Saint Joseph has adopted the following definition of Sexual Harassment in order to address the unique environment of an academic community.

Acts of sexual harassment may be committed by any person upon any other person, regardless of the sex, sexual orientation, and/or gender identity of those involved.

Sexual Harassment, as an umbrella category, includes the actual or attempted offenses of sexual harassment, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking, and is defined as:

Conduct on the basis of sex or that is sexual that satisfies one or more of the following:

- 1) Quid Pro Quo:
 - a. an employee of the University of Saint Joseph,
 - b. conditions⁵ the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the University of Saint Joseph,
 - c. on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

- 2) Sexual Harassment:
 - a. unwelcome conduct,
 - b. determined by a reasonable person,
 - c. to be so severe, and

⁵ Implicitly or explicitly.

- d. pervasive, and,
- e. objectively offensive,
- f. that it effectively denies a person equal access to the University of Saint Joseph's education program or activity.⁶

3) Sexual assault, defined as:

a) Sex Offenses, Forcible:

- o Any sexual act⁷ directed against another person⁸,
- o without the consent of the Complainant,
- o including instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent.

b) Sex Offenses, Non-forcible:

- o Incest:

⁶ Unwelcomeness is subjective and determined by the Complainant (except when the Complainant is younger than the age of consent). Severity, pervasiveness, and objective offensiveness are evaluated based on the totality of the circumstances from the perspective of a reasonable person in the same or similar circumstances ("in the shoes of the Complainant"), including the context in which the alleged incident occurred and any similar, previous patterns that may be evidenced.

⁷ Sexual acts include:

Forcible Rape:

- o Penetration,
- o no matter how slight,
- o of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or
- o oral penetration by a sex organ of another person,
- o without the consent of the Complainant.

Forcible Sodomy:

- o Oral or anal sexual intercourse with another person,
- o forcibly,
- o and/or against that person's will (non-consensually), or
- o not forcibly or against the person's will in instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age[#] or because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

Sexual Assault with an Object:

- o The use of an object or instrument to penetrate,
- o however slightly,
- o the genital or anal opening of the body of another person,
- o forcibly,
- o and/or against that person's will (non-consensually),
- o or not forcibly or against the person's will in instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age or because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

Forcible Fondling:

- o The touching of the private body parts of another person (buttocks, groin, breasts),
- o for the purpose of sexual gratification,
- o forcibly,
- o and/or against that person's will (non-consensually),
- o or not forcibly or against the person's will in instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age or because of temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

⁸ This would include having another person touch you sexually, forcibly, or without their consent.

- 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
 - 2) between persons who are related to each other,
 - 3) within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by Connecticut law.
- o Statutory Rape:
 - 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
 - 2) with a person who is under the statutory age of consent of 16, or the current age of consent in Connecticut, or where the alleged act occurred
- 4) Dating Violence, defined as:
- a. violence,
 - b. on the basis of sex,
 - c. committed by a person,
 - d. who is in or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the Complainant.
 - i. The existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on the Complainant's statement and with consideration of the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship. For the purposes of this definition—
 - ii. Dating violence includes, but is not limited to, sexual or physical abuse or the threat of such abuse.
 - iii. Dating violence does not include acts covered under the definition of domestic violence.
- 5) Domestic Violence, defined as:
- a. violence,
 - b. on the basis of sex,
 - c. committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the Complainant,
 - d. by a person with whom the Complainant shares a child in common, or
 - e. by a person who is cohabitating with, or has cohabitated with, the Complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, or
 - f. by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the Complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Connecticut or
 - g. by any other person against an adult or youth Complainant who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Connecticut.

*To categorize an incident as Domestic Violence, the relationship between the Respondent and the Complainant must be more than just two people living together as roommates. The people cohabitating must be current or former spouses or have an intimate relationship.

- 6) Stalking, defined as:
- a. engaging in a course of conduct,
 - b. on the basis of sex,
 - c. directed at a specific person, that
 - i. would cause a reasonable person to fear for the person's safety, or
 - ii. the safety of others; or
 - iii. Suffer substantial emotional distress.
 For the purposes of this definition—
 - (i) Course of conduct means two or more acts, including, but not limited to,

acts in which the Respondent directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property.

- (ii) Reasonable person means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the Complainant.
- (iii) Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or anguish that may but does not necessarily require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.

University of Saint Joseph Employee Dating Policy [link](#).

University of Saint Joseph reserves the right to impose any level of sanction, ranging from a reprimand up to and including suspension or expulsion/termination, for any offense under this policy.

c. Force, Coercion, Consent, and Incapacitation⁹

As used in the offenses above, the following definitions and understandings apply:

Force: Force is the use of physical violence and/or physical imposition to gain sexual access. Force also includes threats, intimidation (implied threats), and coercion that is intended to overcome resistance or produce consent (e.g., "Have sex with me or I'll hit you," "Okay, don't hit me, I'll do what you want.").

Sexual activity that is forced is, by definition, non-consensual, however all non-consensual sexual activity is not necessarily forced. Silence or the absence of resistance alone is not consent. Consent is not demonstrated by the absence of resistance. While resistance is not required or necessary, it is a clear demonstration of non-consent. Connecticut has statutorily applied an affirmative consent standard to all institutions of higher education in Connecticut.

Coercion: Coercion is unreasonable pressure for sexual activity. Coercive conduct differs from seductive conduct based on factors such as the type and/or extent of the pressure used to obtain consent. When someone makes clear that they do not want to engage in certain sexual activity, that they want to stop, or that they do not want to go past a certain point of sexual interaction, continued pressure beyond that point can be coercive.

Consent is:

- Clear, and
- voluntary, and
- active
- by affirmative words
- to engage in sexual activity.

Individuals may experience the same interaction in different ways. Therefore, it is the responsibility of each party to determine that the other has consented before engaging in the activity.

⁹ Connecticut Statute 10a-55m mandates an affirmative consent standard for all college campuses. In accordance with Connecticut law, the University does not apply any criminal standard of consent when responding to a claim of sexual misconduct under this policy, or any other policy addressing such behavior.

It is the responsibility of each person to ensure that he or she has the affirmative consent of all persons engaged in the sexual activity to engage in the sexual activity and that the affirmative consent is sustained throughout the sexual activity¹⁰.

For consent to be valid, there must be a clear expression in words or actions that the other individual consented to that specific sexual conduct. Reasonable reciprocation can be implied for an agreed upon series of transactions. For example, if all parties agree to not remove certain clothing and keep activity limited to certain acts, then there is reasonably implied consent for those series of acts. Consent may still be withdrawn at any time.

Consent can also be withdrawn once given, as long as the withdrawal is reasonably and clearly communicated. If consent is withdrawn, that sexual activity should cease, in a safe and reasonable manner, when requested.

Consent to some sexual contact (such as kissing or fondling) cannot be presumed to be consent for other sexual activity (such as intercourse). A current or previous intimate relationship is not sufficient to constitute consent.

Proof of consent or non-consent is not a burden placed on either party involved in an incident. Instead, the burden remains on the University of Saint Joseph to determine whether its policy has been violated. The existence of consent is based on the totality of the circumstances evaluated from the perspective of a reasonable person in the same or similar circumstances, including the context in which the alleged incident occurred and any similar, previous patterns that may be evidenced.

Consent in relationships must also be considered in context. When parties consent to BDSM¹¹ or other forms of kink, non-consent may be shown by the use of a safe word. Resistance, force, violence, or even saying “no” may be part of the kink and thus consensual, so University of Saint Joseph’s evaluation of communication in kink situations should be guided by reasonableness, rather than strict adherence to policy that assumes non-kink relationships as a default.

Incapacitation: A person cannot consent if they are unable to understand what is happening or is disoriented, helpless, asleep, or unconscious, for any reason, including by alcohol or other drugs. As stated above, a Respondent violates this policy if they engage in sexual activity with someone who is incapable of giving consent.

It is a defense to a sexual assault policy violation that the Respondent neither knew nor should have known the Complainant to be physically or mentally incapacitated. “Should have known” is an objective, reasonable person standard that assumes that a reasonable person is both sober and exercising sound judgment.

It shall not be a valid excuse to an alleged lack of affirmative consent that the student or employee responding to the alleged violation believed that the student or employee reporting or disclosing the alleged violation consented to the sexual activity (i) because the responding student or employee was intoxicated or reckless or failed to take reasonable steps to ascertain whether the student or employee reporting or disclosing the alleged violation affirmatively consented, or (ii) if the responding student or employee knew or should have known that the student or employee reporting or disclosing the alleged violation was unable to consent because such student or employee was unconscious, asleep, unable to communicate due to a mental or physical condition,

¹⁰ CGS §10a-55m(b)(1)(C)

¹¹ Bondage, discipline/dominance, submission/sadism, and masochism.

involuntarily restrained, or incapacitated due to the influence of drugs, alcohol, medication or intoxicating substances.

Incapacitation occurs when someone cannot make rational, reasonable decisions because they lack the capacity to give knowing/informed consent. A person who cannot understand the “who, what, when, where, why, or how” of their sexual interaction, or surroundings, or behavior are examples of a person who lacks capacity to give knowing consent.

Incapacitation is determined through consideration of all relevant indicators of an individual’s state and is not synonymous with intoxication, impairment, blackout, and/or being drunk.

This policy also covers a person whose incapacity results from a temporary or permanent physical or mental health condition, involuntary physical restraint, and/or the consumption of, or exposure to incapacitating drugs and or substances.

17. Retaliation

Protected activity under this policy includes reporting an incident that may implicate this policy, participating in the grievance process, supporting a Complainant or Respondent, assisting in providing information relevant to an investigation, and/or acting in good faith to oppose conduct that constitutes a violation of this Policy.

Acts of alleged retaliation should be reported immediately to the Title IX Coordinator and will be promptly investigated. University of Saint Joseph will take all appropriate and available steps to protect individuals who fear that they may be subjected to retaliation.

University of Saint Joseph and any member of University of Saint Joseph’s community are prohibited from taking materially adverse action by intimidating, threatening, coercing, harassing, or discriminating against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by law or policy, or because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this policy and procedure.

Filing a complaint within Process B could be considered retaliatory if those charges could be applicable under Process A, when the Process B charges are made for the purpose of interfering with or circumventing any right or privilege provided afforded within Process A that is not provided by Process B. Therefore, University of Saint Joseph vets all complaints carefully to ensure this does not happen, and to assure that complaints are tracked to the appropriate process.

The exercise of rights protected under the First Amendment does not constitute retaliation.

Charging an individual with a code of conduct violation for making a materially false statement in bad faith in the course of a grievance proceeding under this policy and procedure does not constitute retaliation, provided that a determination regarding responsibility, alone, is not sufficient to conclude that any party has made a materially false statement in bad faith.

18. Mandated Reporting

All University of Saint Joseph employees (faculty, staff, administrators, and student employees when approached as a result of their employment) and volunteers are expected to report actual or

suspected sexual harassment or retaliation to appropriate officials immediately, though there are some limited exceptions.

In order to make informed choices, it is important to be aware of confidentiality and mandatory reporting requirements when consulting campus resources. On campus, some resources may maintain confidentiality and are not required to report actual or suspected sexual harassment or retaliation. They may offer options and resources without any obligation to inform an outside agency or campus official unless a Complainant has requested the information be shared.

If a Complainant expects formal action in response to their allegations, reporting to any Mandated Reporter can connect them with resources to report crimes and/or policy violations, and these employees will immediately pass reports to the Title IX Coordinator (and/or police, if desired by the Complainant), who will take action when an incident is reported to them.

The following sections describe the reporting options at University of Saint Joseph for a Complainant or third-party (including parents/guardians when appropriate):

a. Confidential Resources

If a Complainant would like the details of an incident to be kept confidential, the Complainant may speak with:

- Counseling and Wellness Center : 860.231.5233
Fax: 860.371.3060
Email: cwc@usj.edu

- Campus Health Center- Little Red House: 860.231.5530
Fax: 860.231.6794
Email: healthservices@usj.edu

- On-campus members of the clergy/chaplains working within the scope of their licensure or ordination
- Athletic
- Off-campus (non-employees):
 - Licensed professional counselors and other medical providers
 - New Britain Sexual Assault Crisis Services/ CT Alliance to End Sexual Violence:
<https://www.ywcanb.org/sexual-assault-crisis-services/>
1-888-999-5545 (English hotline) — 1-888-568-8332
 - Interval House/ CT Coalition Against Domestic Violence
<https://intervalhousect.org/>
888.774.2900 Bilingual hotline:
 - Clergy/Chaplains
 - Attorneys

All of the above-listed individuals will maintain confidentiality when acting under the scope of their licensure, professional ethics, and/or professional credentials, except in extreme cases of immediacy of threat or danger or abuse of a minor/elder/individual with a disability, or when required to disclose by law or court order.

Campus counselors for students or the Employee Assistance Program (EAP) for employees are available to help free of charge. EAP resources may be consulted by accessing the Human Resources page in [MyUSJ](#)

Employees who are confidential and who receive reports within the scope of their confidential roles will timely submit anonymous statistical information for Clery Act reporting, unless they believe it would be harmful to their client, patient, or parishioner.

b. Anonymous Notice to Mandated Reporters

At the request of a Complainant, notice may be given by a Mandated Reporter to the Title IX Coordinator anonymously, without identification of the student. Employees who are confidential and who receive reports within the scope of their confidential roles will timely submit anonymous statistical information for Clery Act Compliance. The Mandated Reporter cannot remain anonymous themselves.

If a Complainant has requested that a Mandated Reporter maintain the Complainant's anonymity, the Mandated Reporter may do so unless it is reasonable to believe that a compelling threat to health or safety could exist. The Mandated Reporter can consult with the Title IX Coordinator on that assessment without revealing personally identifiable information.

Anonymous notice will be investigated by the University of Saint Joseph to the extent possible, both to assess the underlying allegation(s) and to determine if supportive measures or remedies can be provided.

However, anonymous notice typically limits the University of Saint Joseph's ability to investigate, respond, and provide remedies, depending on what information is shared.

When a Complainant has made a request for anonymity, the Complainant's personally identifiable information may be withheld by a Mandated Reporter, but all other details must be shared with the Title IX Coordinator. Mandated reporters may not be able to maintain requests for anonymity for Complainants who are minors, elderly, and/or disabled, depending on state reporting of abuse requirements].

c. Mandated Reporters and Formal Notice/Complaints

All employees of the University of Saint Joseph (including student employees), with the exception of those who are designated as Confidential Resources, are Mandated Reporters and must promptly share with the Title IX Coordinator all known details of a report made to them in the course of their employment.

Employees must also promptly share all details of behaviors under this policy that they observe or have knowledge of, even if not reported to them by a Complainant or third-party.

Complainants may want to carefully consider whether they share personally identifiable details with non-confidential Mandated Reporters, as those details must be shared with the Title IX Coordinator.

Generally, disclosures in climate surveys, classroom writing assignments or discussions, human subjects research, or at events such as "Take Back the Night" marches or speak-outs do not provide notice that must be reported to the Coordinator by employees, unless the Complainant clearly indicates that they desire a report to be made or a seek a specific response from the University of Saint Joseph.

Supportive measures may be offered as the result of such disclosures without formal University of Saint Joseph action.

Failure of a Mandated Reporter, as described above in this section, to report an incident of sexual harassment or retaliation of which they become aware is a violation of University of Saint Joseph policy and can be subject to disciplinary action for failure to comply.

Though this may seem obvious, when a Mandated Reporter is engaged in harassment or other violations of this policy, they still have a duty to report their own misconduct, though the University of Saint Joseph is technically not on notice when a harasser is also a Mandated Reporter unless the harasser does in fact report themselves.

Finally, it is important to clarify that a Mandated Reporter who is themselves a target of harassment or other misconduct under this policy is not required to report their own experience, though they are, of course, encouraged to do so.

19. When a Complainant Does Not Wish to Proceed

If a Complainant does not wish for their name to be shared, does not wish for an investigation to take place, or does not want a formal complaint to be pursued, they may make such a request to the Title IX Coordinator, who will evaluate that request in light of the duty to ensure the safety of the campus and to comply with state or federal law.

The Title IX Coordinator has ultimate discretion over whether the University of Saint Joseph proceeds when the Complainant does not wish to do so, and the Title IX Coordinator may sign a formal complaint to initiate a grievance process upon completion of an appropriate violence risk assessment.

The Title IX Coordinator's decision should be based on results of the violence risk assessment that show a compelling risk to health and/or safety that requires the University of Saint Joseph to pursue formal action to protect the community.

A compelling risk to health and/or safety may result from evidence of patterns of misconduct, predatory conduct, threats, abuse of minors, use of weapons, and/or violence. University of Saint Josephs may be compelled to act on alleged employee misconduct irrespective of a Complainant's wishes.

The Title IX Coordinator must also consider the effect that non-participation by the Complainant may have on the availability of evidence and the University of Saint Joseph's ability to pursue a Formal Grievance Process fairly and effectively.

When the Title IX Coordinator executes the written complaint, they do not become the Complainant. The Complainant is the individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute a violation of this policy.

When the University of Saint Joseph proceeds, the Complainant (or their Advisor) may have as much or as little involvement in the process as they wish. The Complainant retains all rights of a Complainant under this Policy irrespective of their level of participation. Typically, when the Complainant chooses not to participate, the Advisor may be appointed as proxy for the Complainant throughout the process, acting to ensure and protect the rights of the Complainant, though this does not extend to the provision of evidence or testimony.

Note that the University of Saint Joseph's ability to remedy and respond to notice may be limited if the Complainant does not want the University of Saint Joseph to proceed with an investigation and/or grievance process. The goal is to provide the Complainant with as much control over the process as possible, while balancing the University of Saint Joseph's obligation to protect its community.

In cases in which the Complainant requests confidentiality/no formal action and the circumstances allow the University of Saint Joseph to honor that request, the University of Saint Joseph will offer informal resolution options (see below), supportive measures, and remedies to the Complainant and the community, but will not otherwise pursue formal action.

If the Complainant elects to take no action, they can change that decision if they decide to pursue a formal complaint at a later date. Upon making a formal complaint, a Complainant has the right, and can expect, to have allegations taken seriously by University of Saint Joseph, and to have the incidents investigated and properly resolved through these procedures. Please consider that delays may cause limitations on access to evidence, or present issues with respect to the status of the parties.

20. Federal Timely Warning Obligations

Parties reporting sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and/or stalking should be aware that under the Clery Act, University of Saint Joseph must issue timely warnings for incidents reported to them that pose a serious or continuing threat of bodily harm or danger to members of the campus community.

The University of Saint Joseph will ensure that a Complainant's name and other identifying information is not disclosed, while still providing enough information for community members to make safety decisions in light of the potential danger.

21. False Allegations and Evidence

Deliberately false and/or malicious accusations under this policy are a serious offense and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action. This does not include allegations that are made in good faith but are ultimately shown to be erroneous or do not result in a policy violation determination.

Additionally, witnesses and parties knowingly providing false evidence, tampering with or destroying evidence, or deliberately misleading an official conducting an investigation can be subject to discipline under the applicable University of Saint Joseph employee or student conduct policy.

22. Amnesty for Complainants and Witnesses

The University of Saint Joseph community encourages the reporting of misconduct and crimes by Complainants and witnesses. Sometimes, Complainants or witnesses are hesitant to report to University of Saint Joseph officials or participate in grievance processes because they fear that they themselves may be in violation of certain policies, such as underage drinking or use of illicit drugs at the time of the incident. Respondents may hesitate to be forthcoming during the process for the same reasons.

It is in the best interests of the University of Saint Joseph community that Complainants choose to report misconduct to University of Saint Joseph officials, that witnesses come forward to share what they know, and that all parties be forthcoming during the process.

To encourage reporting and participation in the process, University of Saint Joseph maintains a policy of offering parties and witnesses amnesty from minor policy violations – such as underage consumption of alcohol or the use of illicit drugs – related to the incident.

Amnesty does not apply to more serious allegations such as physical abuse of another. The decision not to offer amnesty is based on neither sex nor gender, but on the fact that collateral misconduct is typically addressed for all students within a progressive discipline system, and the rationale for amnesty – the incentive to report serious misconduct – is rarely applicable to Respondent with respect to a Complainant.

Students: Sometimes, students are hesitant to assist others for fear that they may get in trouble themselves for example, an underage student who has been drinking or using marijuana might hesitate to help take an individual who has experienced sexual assault to Public Safety.

The University of Saint Joseph maintains a policy of amnesty for students who offer help to others in need. Although, the University of Saint Joseph may provide purely educational options with no official disciplinary finding, rather than punitive sanctions, to those who offer their assistance to others in need. Persons who are on any form of probation who assist those in need will be given strong deference for amnesty if their behavior violated a University conduct code or the terms of their probation/contingency agreement. Amnesty will not be given to persons who are the respondent and assist the complainant afterward, examples of such would be intimate partner violence.

Employees: Sometimes, employees are hesitant to report sexual harassment or retaliation they have experienced for fear that they may get in trouble themselves. For example, an employee who has violated the consensual relationship policy and is then assaulted in the course of that relationship might hesitate to report the incident to University of Saint Joseph officials.

The University of Saint Joseph may, at its discretion, offer employee Complainants amnesty from such policy violations related to the incident. Amnesty may also be granted to Respondents and witnesses on a case-by-case basis.

23. Federal Statistical Reporting Obligations

Certain campus officials – those deemed Campus Security Authorities – have a duty to report the following for federal statistical reporting purposes (Clery Act):

- a) All “primary crimes,” which include homicide, sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, motor vehicle theft, and arson;
- b) Hate crimes, which include any bias-motivated primary crime as well as any bias motivated larceny or theft, simple assault, intimidation, or destruction/damage/vandalism of property;
- c) VAWA-based crimes,¹² which include sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking; and

¹² VAWA is the Violence Against Women Act, enacted in 1994 codified in part at 42 U.S.C. sections 13701 through 14040.

- d) Arrests and referrals for disciplinary action for weapons-related law violations, liquor-related law violations, and drug abuse-related law violations.

All personally identifiable information is kept private, but statistical information must be shared with public safety regarding the type of incident and its general location (on or off-campus or in the surrounding area) for publication in the Annual Security Report and daily campus crime log.

Campus Security Authorities include: student affairs/student conduct staff, Campus Safety, local police, coaches, athletic directors, residence life staff, student activities staff, human resources staff, advisors to student organizations, and any other official with significant responsibility for student and campus activities.

INTERIM RESOLUTION PROCESS FOR ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE POLICY ON SEXUAL HARASSMENT (KNOWN AS PROCESS “A”)

1. Overview

University of Saint Joseph will act on any formal or informal notice/complaint of violation of the Policy that is received by the Title IX Coordinator¹³ or any other Official with Authority.

The procedures below apply **only** to qualifying allegations of sexual harassment (including sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking, as defined above) involving students, staff, administrator, or faculty members. The institution encourages USJ community members to report all incidents or concerns of sexual misconduct (assault, harassment, intimate partner violence, and stalking) even if the behavior does not meet the definitions of this policy.

If a dismissal occurs under Process A, please see the Student Code of Conduct or the Employee Handbook for a description of the procedures applicable to the resolution of such offenses, these procedures are collectively known as “Process B.” For any employee or volunteer who is governed by handbook the standards and process described in the Employee Handbook will govern the process to address an incident or allegation of sexual misconduct.

Process B can also apply to sexual misconduct (sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking, as defined above) when jurisdiction does not fall within Process A, as determined by the Title IX Coordinator. These incidents will be forwarded to Human Resources, Student Conduct, or the President’s office (in instances involving the aforementioned offices or other conflicts), or a designee for resolution.

Unionized/other categorized employees are subject to the terms of their agreements/employees’ rights to the extent those agreements do not conflict with federal or state compliance obligations.

The procedures below may be used to address collateral misconduct arising from the investigation of or occurring in conjunction with reported misconduct (e.g., vandalism, physical abuse of another). All other allegations of misconduct unrelated to incidents covered by the Policy will be addressed through procedures described in the student, and employee handbooks.

2. Notice/Complaint

Upon receipt of a complaint or notice to the Title IX Coordinator of an alleged violation of the Policy, the Title IX Coordinator initiates a prompt initial assessment to determine the next steps the University of Saint Joseph needs to take.

The Title IX Coordinator will initiate at least one of three responses:

- 1) Offering supportive measures because the Complainant does not want to file a formal complaint; and/or
- 2) An informal resolution (upon submission of a formal complaint); and/or

¹³ Anywhere this procedure indicates “Title IX Coordinator,” the University of Saint Joseph may substitute a trained designee.

3) A Formal Grievance Process including an investigation and a hearing (upon submission of a formal complaint).

The University of Saint Joseph uses the Formal Grievance Process to determine whether or not the Policy has been violated. If so, the University of Saint Joseph will promptly implement effective remedies designed to ensure that it is not deliberately indifferent to sexual harassment or retaliation, their potential recurrence, or their effects.

3. Initial Assessment

Following receipt of notice or a complaint of an alleged violation of this Policy, the Title IX Coordinator¹⁴ engages in an initial assessment, typically within one to five business days. The steps in an initial assessment can include:

- If notice is given, the Title IX Coordinator seeks to determine if the person impacted wishes to make a formal complaint, and will assist them to do so, if desired.
 - If they do not wish to do so, the Title IX Coordinator determines whether to initiate a complaint because a violence risk assessment indicates a compelling threat to health and/or safety.
- If a formal complaint is received, the Title IX Coordinator assesses its sufficiency and works with the Complainant to make sure it is correctly completed.
- The Title IX Coordinator reaches out to the Complainant to offer supportive measures.
- The Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant to ensure they are aware of the right to have an Advisor.
- The Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant to determine whether the Complainant prefers a supportive and remedial response, an informal resolution option, or a formal investigation and grievance process.
 - If a supportive and remedial response is preferred, the Title IX Coordinator works with the Complainant to identify their wishes, assesses the request, and implements accordingly. No Formal Grievance Process is initiated, though the Complainant can elect to initiate one later, if desired.
 - If an informal resolution option is preferred, the Title IX Coordinator assesses whether the complaint is suitable for informal resolution, which informal mechanism may serve the situation best or is available, and may seek to determine if the Respondent is also willing to engage in informal resolution.
 - If a Formal Grievance Process is preferred, the Title IX Coordinator determines if the misconduct alleged falls within the scope of Title IX:
 - If it does, the Title IX Coordinator will initiate the formal investigation and grievance process, directing the investigation to address:
 - an incident, and/or
 - a pattern of alleged misconduct, and/or
 - a culture/climate concern, based on the nature of the complaint.
 - If it does not and the Title IX Coordinator determines that Title IX does not apply, the Title IX Coordinator and any Title IX personnel will assess which policies may apply, and refer the matter for resolution under Process B. Please note that dismissing a complaint under Title IX is solely a procedural

¹⁴ If circumstances require, the President or Title IX Coordinator will designate another person to oversee the process below should an allegation be made about the Coordinator or the Coordinator be otherwise unavailable or unable to fulfill their duties.

requirement under Title IX and does not limit the University of Saint Joseph's authority to address a complaint with an appropriate process and remedies.

a. Violence Risk Assessment

In many cases, the Title IX Coordinator may determine that a Violence Risk Assessment (VRA) should be conducted by the Campus Assessment Team as part of the initial assessment. A VRA can aid in ten critical and/or required determinations, including:

- Emergency removal of a Respondent on the basis of immediate threat to physical health/safety;
- Whether the Title IX Coordinator should pursue/sign a formal complaint absent a willing/able Complainant;
- Whether to put the investigation on the footing of incident and/or pattern and/or climate;
- To help identify potential predatory conduct;
- To help assess/identify grooming behaviors;
- Whether it is reasonable to try to resolve a complaint through informal resolution, and what modality may be most successful;
- Whether to permit a voluntary withdrawal by the Respondent;
- Whether to impose transcript notation or communicate with a transfer University of Saint Joseph about a Respondent;
- Assessment of appropriate sanctions/remedies (to be applied post-hearing); and/or
- Whether a Clery Act Timely Warning/Trespass order/Persona-non-grata is needed.

Threat assessment is the process of evaluating the actionability of violence by an individual against another person or group following the issuance of a direct or conditional threat. A VRA is a broader term used to assess any potential violence or danger, regardless of the presence of a vague, conditional, or direct threat.

VRAs require specific training and are typically conducted by psychologists, clinical counselors, social workers, case managers, law enforcement officers, student conduct officers, or other properly trained members.

A VRA authorized by the Title IX Coordinator should occur in collaboration with the CAT. Where a VRA is required by the Title IX Coordinator, a Respondent refusing to cooperate may result in a charge of failure to comply within the appropriate student or employee conduct process.

A VRA is not an evaluation for an involuntary behavioral health hospitalization, nor is it a psychological or mental health assessment. A VRA assesses the risk of actionable violence, often with a focus on targeted/predatory escalations, and is supported by research from the fields of law enforcement, criminology, human resources, and psychology.

More about the University of Saint Joseph's process for VRA can be found below in [Appendix C](#).

b. Dismissal (Mandatory and Discretionary)¹⁵

The University of Saint Joseph must dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at

¹⁵ These dismissal requirements are mandated by the 2020 Title IX Regulations, 34 CFR §106.45.

any time during the investigation or hearing, it is determined that:

- 1) The conduct alleged in the formal complaint would not constitute sexual harassment as defined above, even if proved; and/or
- 2) The conduct did not occur in an educational program or activity controlled by the University of Saint Joseph (including buildings or property controlled by recognized student organizations), and/or the University of Saint Joseph does not have control of the Respondent; and/or
- 3) The conduct did not occur against a person in the United States; and/or
- 4) At the time of filing a formal complaint, a complainant is not participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the University of Saint Joseph.¹⁶

The University of Saint Joseph may dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or hearing:

- 1) A Complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the Complainant would like to withdraw the formal complaint or any allegations therein; or
- 2) The Respondent is no longer enrolled in or employed by the University of Saint Joseph; or
- 3) Specific circumstances prevent the University of Saint Joseph from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the formal complaint or allegations therein.

Upon any dismissal, the University of Saint Joseph will promptly send written notice via email of the dismissal and the rationale for doing so simultaneously to the parties.

This dismissal decision is appealable by any party under the procedures for appeal below. A Complainant who decides to withdraw a complaint may later request to reinstate it or refile it.

4. Counterclaims

The University of Saint Joseph is obligated to ensure that the grievance process is not abused for retaliatory purposes. The University of Saint Joseph permits the filing of counterclaims but uses an initial assessment, described above, to assess whether the allegations in the counterclaim are made in good faith. Counterclaims by a Respondent may be made in good faith, but are, on occasion, also made for purposes of retaliation. Counterclaims made with retaliatory intent will not be permitted.

Counterclaims determined to have been reported in good faith will be processed using the grievance procedures below. Investigation of such claims may take place after resolution of the underlying initial allegation, in which case a delay may occur.

Counterclaims may also be resolved through the same investigation as the underlying allegation, at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator. When counterclaims are not made in good faith, they will be considered retaliatory and may constitute a violation of this policy.

5. Right to an Advisor

¹⁶ Such a Complainant is still entitled to supportive measures, but the formal grievance process is not applicable.

The parties may each have an Advisor¹⁷ of their choice present with them for all meetings, interviews, and hearings within the resolution process, if they so choose. The parties may select whomever they wish to serve as their Advisor as long as the Advisor is eligible and available.¹⁸

Choosing an Advisor who is also a witness in the process creates potential for bias and conflict-of-interest. A party who chooses an Advisor who is also a witness can anticipate that issues of potential bias will be explored by the hearing Decision-maker(s).

The University of Saint Joseph may permit parties to have more than one Advisor upon special request to the Title IX Coordinator. The decision to grant this request is at the sole discretion of the Title IX Coordinator and will be granted equitably to all parties involved in a claim, although only one advisor may appear at a time for meetings, interviews and hearings.

a. Who Can Serve as an Advisor

The Advisor may be a friend, mentor, family member, attorney, or any other individual a party chooses to advise, support, and/or consult with them throughout the resolution process. The parties may choose Advisors from inside or outside of the University of Saint Joseph community.

The Title IX Coordinator will also offer to assign a trained Advisor for any party if the party so chooses. If the parties choose an Advisor from the pool available from the University of Saint Joseph, the Advisor will be trained by the University of Saint Joseph and be familiar with the University of Saint Joseph's resolution process.

If the parties choose an Advisor from outside the pool of those identified by the University of Saint Joseph, the Advisor may not have been trained by the University of Saint Joseph and may not be familiar with University of Saint Joseph policies and procedures.

Parties also have the right to choose not to have an Advisor in the initial stages of the resolution process, prior to a hearing.

b. Advisor's Role in Meetings and Interviews

The parties may be accompanied by their Advisor in all meetings and interviews at which the party is entitled to be present, including intake and interviews. Advisors should help the parties prepare for each meeting and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith.

The University of Saint Joseph cannot guarantee equal Advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an Advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not or cannot afford an attorney, the University of Saint Joseph is not obligated to provide an attorney.

c. Advisors in Hearings/University of Saint Joseph-Appointed Advisor

¹⁷ This could include an attorney, advocate, or support person. The law permits one Advisor for each party (witnesses are not entitled to Advisors within the process, though they can be advised externally). If the University of Saint Joseph allows more than one Advisor for one party, they will do so for all parties.

¹⁸ "Available" means the party cannot insist on an Advisor who simply doesn't have inclination, time, or availability. Also, the Advisor cannot have institutionally conflicting roles, such as being a Title IX administrator who has an active role in the matter, or a supervisor who must monitor and implement sanctions.

Under U.S. Department of Education regulations under Title IX, a form of indirect questioning is required during the hearing, but must be conducted by the parties' Advisors. The parties are not permitted to directly question each other or any witnesses. If a party does not have an Advisor for a hearing, the University of Saint Joseph will appoint a trained Advisor for the limited purpose of conducting any questioning of the other party and witnesses.

A party may reject this appointment and choose their own Advisor, but they may not proceed without an Advisor. If the party's Advisor will not conduct questioning, the University of Saint Joseph will appoint an Advisor who will do so thoroughly, regardless of the participation or non-participation of the advised party in the hearing itself. Extensive questioning of the parties and witnesses will also be conducted by the Decision-maker(s) during the hearing.

d. Advisor's Role in Meetings and Interviews

The parties may be accompanied by their Advisor in all meetings and interviews at which the party is entitled to be present, including intake and interviews. Advisors should help the parties prepare for each meeting and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith.

The University of Saint Joseph cannot guarantee equal Advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an Advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not or cannot afford an attorney, the University of Saint Joseph is not obligated to provide an attorney.

e. Pre-Interview Meetings

Advisors may request to meet with the administrative officials conducting interviews/meetings in advance of these interviews or meetings. This pre-meeting allows Advisors to clarify and understand their role and University of Saint Joseph's policies and procedures. This meeting may not be used in a manner that causes undue burden or harassment of the Title IX Process or Coordinator, examples of such are asking for guarantees of rights already stated in this policy, or raising hypothetical questions about violations when no such violations are of concern to the proceedings at hand. Advisor's, notably those with specialized training, are expected to ask questions in good faith and familiarize themselves with policies and procedures in anticipation of this meeting. This meeting may also not be used to delay proceedings. The University of Saint Joseph's jurisdiction over a matter may be seriously affected by the passage of time. Students will be asked to choose another advisor if their current advisor's availability does not allow for a prompt resolution.

f. Advisor Violations of University of Saint Joseph Policy

All Advisors are subject to the same University of Saint Joseph policies and procedures, whether they are attorneys or not. Advisors are expected to advise their advisees without disrupting proceedings. Advisors should not address University of Saint Joseph officials in a meeting or interview unless invited to (e.g., asking procedural questions). The Advisor may not make a presentation or represent their advisee¹⁹ during any meeting or proceeding and may not speak on behalf of the advisee to the Investigator(s) or other Decision-maker(s) except during a hearing proceeding, during cross-examination.

¹⁹ Subject to the state law provisions or University of Saint Joseph policy above.

The parties are expected to ask and respond to questions on their own behalf throughout the investigation phase of the resolution process. Although the Advisor generally may not speak on behalf of their advisee, the Advisor may consult with their advisee, by conferring during any resolution process meeting or interview. For longer or more involved discussions, the parties and their Advisors should ask for breaks to allow for private consultation.

Any Advisor who oversteps their role as defined by this policy will be warned only once. If the Advisor continues to disrupt or otherwise fails to respect the limits of the Advisor role, the meeting will be ended, or other appropriate measures implemented. Subsequently, the Title IX Coordinator will determine how to address the Advisor's non-compliance and future role.

g. Sharing Information with the Advisor

The University of Saint Joseph expects that the parties may wish to have the University of Saint Joseph share documentation and evidence related to the allegations with their Advisors. Parties may share this information directly with their Advisor or other individuals if they wish. Doing so may help the parties participate more meaningfully in the resolution process.

The University of Saint Joseph also provides a consent form that authorizes the University of Saint Joseph to share such information directly with their Advisor. The parties must either complete and submit this form to the Title IX Coordinator or provide similar documentation demonstrating consent to a release of information to the Advisor before University of Saint Joseph is able to share records with an Advisor.

If a party requests that all communication be made through their attorney Advisor, the University of Saint Joseph will not comply with that request. Any communications made through an attorney advisor will be at the sole discretion of the Title IX Coordinator.

h. Privacy of Records Shared with Advisor

Advisors are expected to maintain the privacy of the records shared with them. These records may not be shared with third parties, disclosed publicly, or used for purposes not explicitly authorized by University of Saint Joseph. University of Saint Joseph may seek to restrict the role of any Advisor who does not respect the sensitive nature of the process or who fails to abide by the University of Saint Joseph's privacy expectations.

i. Expectations of an Advisor

The University of Saint Joseph generally expects an Advisor to adjust their schedule to allow them to attend University of Saint Joseph meetings when planned, but may change scheduled meetings to accommodate an Advisor's inability to attend, if doing so does not cause an unreasonable delay.

The University of Saint Joseph may also make reasonable provisions to allow an Advisor who cannot attend in person to attend a meeting by telephone, video conferencing, or other similar technologies as may be convenient and available.

j. Expectations of the Parties with Respect to Advisors

A party may elect to change Advisors during the process and is not obligated to use the same Advisor throughout. The parties are expected to inform the Investigator(s) of the identity of their

Advisor at least two (2) business days before the date of their first meeting with Investigators (or as soon as possible if a more expeditious meeting is necessary or desired).

The parties are expected to provide timely notice to the Title IX Coordinator if they change Advisors at any time. It is assumed that if a party changes Advisors, consent to share information with the previous Advisor is terminated, and a release for the new Advisor must be secured. Parties are expected to inform the Title IX Coordinator of the identity of their hearing Advisor at least two (2) business days before the hearing.

k. Assistance in Securing an Advisor

For representation, Respondents may wish to contact organizations such as:

- FACE (<http://www.facecampusequality.org>)
- SAVE (<http://www.saveservices.org>).

Complainants may wish to contact organizations such as:

- The Victim Rights Law Center (<http://www.victimrights.org>),
- The National Center for Victims of Crime (<http://www.victimsofcrime.org>), which maintains the Crime Victim's Bar Association.]
- The Time's Up Legal Defense Fund: <https://nwlc.org/times-up-legal-defense-fund/>]

6. Resolution Processes

Resolution proceedings are private. All persons present at any time during the resolution process are expected to maintain the privacy of the proceedings in accordance with University of Saint Joseph policy. Although there is an expectation of privacy around what Investigators share with parties during interviews, the parties have discretion to share their own knowledge and evidence with others if they so choose, with the exception of information the parties agree not to disclose related to Informal Resolution, discussed below. University of Saint Joseph encourages parties to discuss any sharing of information with their Advisors before doing so.

a. Informal Resolution

Informal Resolution can include three different approaches:

- When the Title IX Coordinator can resolve the matter informally by providing supportive measures (only) to remedy the situation.
- When the parties agree to resolve the matter through an alternate resolution mechanism as described below, usually before a formal investigation takes place; see discussion in b., below.
- When the Respondent accepts responsibility for violating policy, and desires to accept a sanction and end the resolution process (similar to above, but usually occurs post-investigation); see discussion in c., below.

To initiate Informal Resolution, a Complainant needs to submit a formal complaint, as defined above. A Respondent who wishes to initiate Informal Resolution should contact the Title IX Coordinator.

It is not necessary to pursue Informal Resolution first in order to pursue a Formal Grievance Process, and any party participating in Informal Resolution can stop the process at any time and begin or

resume the Formal Grievance Process.

Prior to implementing Informal Resolution, the University of Saint Joseph will provide the parties with written notice of the reported misconduct and any sanctions or measures that may result from participating in such a process, including information regarding any records that will be maintained or shared by the University of Saint Joseph.

The University of Saint Joseph will obtain voluntary, written confirmation that all parties wish to resolve the matter through Informal Resolution before proceeding and will not pressure the parties to participate in an Informal Resolution.

b. Alternate Resolution Mechanism

Alternate Resolution is an informal mechanism by which the parties reach a mutually agreed upon resolution of an allegation. All parties must consent to the use of an Alternate Resolution mechanism.

The Title IX Coordinator may look to the following factors to assess whether Alternate Resolution is appropriate, or which form of Alternate Resolution may be most successful for the parties:

- The parties' amenability to Alternate Resolution;
- Likelihood of potential resolution, taking into account any power dynamics between the parties;
- The parties' motivation to participate;
- Civility of the parties;
- Results of a violence risk assessment/ongoing risk analysis;
- Disciplinary history;
- Whether an emergency removal is needed;
- Skill of the Alternate Resolution facilitator with this type of allegation;
- Complaint complexity;
- Emotional investment/capability of the parties;
- Rationality of the parties;
- Goals of the parties;
- Adequate resources to invest in Alternate Resolution (time, staff, etc.)

The ultimate determination of whether Alternate Resolution is available or successful is to be made by the Title IX Coordinator. The Title IX Coordinator maintains records of any resolution that is reached, and failure to abide by the resolution agreement may result in appropriate responsive/disciplinary actions. Results of complaints resolved by Informal Resolution or Alternate Resolution are not appealable.

c. Respondent Accepts Responsibility for Alleged Violations

The Respondent may accept responsibility for all or part of the alleged policy violations at any point during the resolution process. If the Respondent indicates an intent to accept responsibility for all of the alleged misconduct, the formal process will be paused, and the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether Informal Resolution can be used according to the criteria above.

If Informal Resolution is applicable, the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether all parties and the University of Saint Joseph are able to agree on responsibility, sanctions, and/or remedies. If so, the Title IX Coordinator implements the accepted finding that the Respondent is in violation of

University of Saint Joseph policy and implements agreed-upon sanctions and/or remedies, in coordination with other appropriate administrator(s), as necessary.

This result is not subject to appeal once all parties indicate their written assent to all agreed upon terms of resolution. When the parties cannot agree on all terms of resolution, the Formal Grievance Process will resume at the same point where it was paused.

When a resolution is accomplished, the appropriate sanction or responsive actions are promptly implemented in order to effectively stop the sexual harassment or retaliation, prevent its recurrence, and remedy the effects of the discriminatory conduct, both on the Complainant and the community.

d. Negotiated Resolution

The Title IX Coordinator, with the consent of the parties, may negotiate and implement an agreement to resolve the allegations that satisfies all parties and the University of Saint Joseph. Negotiated Resolutions are not appealable.

7. Grievance Process Pool

The Formal Grievance Process relies on a pool of administrators (“the Pool”) to carry out the process. Members of the Pool are announced in an annual distribution of this policy to all students, parents/guardians of students, employees, prospective students, and prospective employees, the list may be updated as members are added or removed.

The list of Pool members and a description of the Pool can be found at [www.University of Saint Joseph.edu/titleix](http://www.UniversityofSaintJoseph.edu/titleix).

a. Pool Member Roles

Members of the Pool are trained annually, and can serve in in the following roles, at the direction of the Title IX Coordinator:

- To provide appropriate intake of and initial guidance pertaining to complaints
- To act as an Advisor to the parties
- To serve in a facilitation role in Informal Resolution or Alternate Resolution if appropriately trained in appropriate resolution modalities
- To perform or assist with initial assessment
- To investigate complaints
- To serve as a hearing facilitator (process administrator, no decision-making role)
- To serve as a Decision-maker regarding the complaint
- To serve as an Appeal Decision-maker

b. Pool Member Appointment

The Title IX Coordinator appoints the Pool²⁰, which acts with independence and impartiality. Although members of the Pool are typically trained in a variety of skill sets and can rotate amongst

²⁰ This does not preclude the University of Saint Joseph from having all members of the Pool go through an application and/or interview/selection process.

the different roles listed above in different cases, the University of Saint Joseph can also designate permanent roles for individuals in the Pool, using others as substitutes or to provide greater depth of experience when necessary. This process of role assignment may be the result of particular skills, aptitudes, or talents identified in members of the Pool that make them best suited to particular roles.

c. Pool Member Training

The Pool members receive annual training. This training includes, but is not limited to:

- The scope of the University of Saint Joseph's Sexual Harassment Policy and Procedures
- How to conduct investigations and hearings that protect the safety of Complainants and Respondents, and promote accountability
- Implicit bias
- Disparate treatment and impact
- Reporting, confidentiality, and privacy requirements
- Applicable laws, regulations, and federal regulatory guidance
- How to implement appropriate and situation-specific remedies
- How to investigate in a thorough, reliable, and impartial manner
- How to uphold fairness, equity, and due process
- How to weigh evidence
- How to conduct questioning
- How to assess credibility
- Impartiality and objectivity
- How to render findings and generate clear, concise, evidence-based rationales
- The definitions of all offenses
- How to apply definitions used by the University of Saint Joseph with respect to consent (or the absence or negation of consent) consistently, impartially, and in accordance with policy
- How to conduct an investigation and grievance process including hearings, appeals, and informal resolution processes
- How to serve impartially by avoiding prejudgment of the facts at issue, conflicts of interest, and bias
- Any technology to be used at a live hearing
- Issues of relevance of questions and evidence
- Issues of relevance to create an investigation report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence
- How to determine appropriate sanctions in reference to all forms of harassment and/or retaliation allegations
- Recordkeeping

Specific training is also provided for Appeal Decision-makers, intake personnel, Advisors (who are University of Saint Joseph employees), and Chairs. All Pool members are required to attend these trainings annually. The materials used to train all members of the Pool are publicly posted here:

www.UniversityofSaintJoseph.edu/titleix .

d. Pool Membership

Pool members are usually appointed to three-year terms. Individuals who are interested in serving in the Pool are encouraged to contact the Title IX Coordinator.

8. Formal Grievance Process: Notice of Investigation and Allegations

The Title IX Coordinator will provide written notice of the investigation and allegations (the “NOIA”) to the Respondent upon commencement of the Formal Grievance Process. This facilitates the Respondent’s ability to prepare for the interview and to identify and choose an Advisor to accompany them. The NOIA is also copied to the Complainant, who is to be given advance notice of when the NOIA will be delivered to the Respondent.

The NOIA will include:

- A meaningful summary of all of allegations,
- The identity of the involved parties (if known),
- The precise misconduct being alleged,
- The date and location of the alleged incident(s) (if known),
- The specific policies implicated,
- A description of the applicable procedures,
- A statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could result,
- A statement that the University of Saint Joseph presumes the Respondent is not responsible for the reported misconduct unless and until the evidence supports a different determination,
- A statement that determinations of responsibility are made at the conclusion of the process and that the parties will be given an opportunity to inspect and review all directly related and/or relevant evidence obtained during the review and comment period,
- A statement about the University of Saint Joseph’s policy on retaliation,
- Information about the privacy of the process,
- Information on the need for each party to have an Advisor of their choosing and suggestions for ways to identify an Advisor,
- A statement informing the parties that the University of Saint Joseph’s Policy prohibits knowingly making false statements, including knowingly submitting false information during the resolution process,
- Detail on how the party may request disability accommodations during the interview process,
- A link to the University of Saint Joseph’s VAWA Brochure,
- The name(s) of the Investigator(s), along with a process to identify, in advance of the interview process, to the Title IX Coordinator any conflict of interest that the Investigator(s) may have, and
- An instruction to preserve any evidence that is directly related to the allegations.

Amendments and updates to the NOIA may be made as the investigation progresses and more information becomes available regarding the addition or dismissal of various charges.

Notice will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person, or emailed to the parties’ University of Saint Joseph issued email account. Once emailed, and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

9. Resolution Timeline

The University of Saint Joseph will make a good faith effort to complete the resolution process within a sixty-to-ninety (60-90) business day time period, including appeal, which can be extended as necessary for appropriate cause by the Title IX Coordinator, who will provide notice and rationale for any extensions or delays to the parties as appropriate, as well as a estimate of how much additional time will be needed to complete the process.

10. Appointment of Investigators

Once the decision to commence a formal investigation is made, the Title IX Coordinator appoints a properly trained pool member, or designee to conduct the investigation, usually within two (2) business days of determining that an investigation should proceed.

11. Ensuring Impartiality

Any individual materially involved in the administration of the resolution process including the Title IX Coordinator, Investigator(s), and Decision-maker(s) may neither have nor demonstrate a conflict of interest or bias for a party generally, or for a specific Complainant or Respondent.

The Title IX Coordinator will vet the assigned Investigator(s) to ensure impartiality by ensuring there are no actual or apparent conflicts of interest or disqualifying biases. The parties may, at any time during the resolution process, raise a concern regarding bias or conflict of interest, and the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether the concern is reasonable and supportable. If so, another Pool member will be assigned and the impact of the bias or conflict, if any, will be remedied. If the source of the conflict of interest or bias is the Title IX Coordinator, concerns should be raised with the President or the Vice President for Finance and Administration.

The Formal Grievance Process involves an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence obtained, including evidence that supports that the Respondent engaged in a policy violation and evidence that supports that the Respondent did not engage in a policy violation. Credibility determinations may not be based solely on an individual's status or participation as a Complainant, Respondent, or witness.

The University of Saint Joseph operates with the presumption that the Respondent is not responsible for the reported misconduct unless and until the Respondent is determined to be responsible for a policy violation by the applicable standard of proof.

12. Investigation Timeline

Investigations are completed expeditiously, though some investigations may take weeks or even months, depending on the nature, extent, and complexity of the allegations, availability of witnesses, police involvement, etc.

The University of Saint Joseph will make a good faith effort to complete investigations as promptly as circumstances permit and will communicate regularly with the parties to update them on the progress and timing of the investigation..

13. Delays in the Investigation Process and Interactions with Law Enforcement

The University of Saint Joseph may undertake a short delay in its investigation (several days to a few weeks) if circumstances require. Such circumstances include but are not limited to: a request from law enforcement to temporarily delay the investigation, the need for language assistance, the absence of parties and/or witnesses, and/or accommodations for disabilities or health conditions.

The University will also exercise regard for student parties' academic and emotional priorities' during an investigation. An accommodation request for reasonable delays for end of term exams or qualifying tests like the Praxis or PANCE, may be submitted to the Title IX Coordinator. The Title IX Coordinator or designee, at their discretion, may provide an accommodation for classes and/or

assignments that are missed due to interviews or meetings pursuant to the enforcement of this policy.

The University of Saint Joseph will communicate in writing the anticipated duration of the delay and reason to the parties and provide the parties with status updates if necessary. The University of Saint Joseph will promptly resume its investigation and resolution process as soon as feasible. During such a delay, University of Saint Joseph will implement supportive measures as deemed appropriate.

University of Saint Joseph action(s) or processes are not typically altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the underlying incident(s) have been filed or that criminal charges have been dismissed or reduced.

14. Steps in the Investigation Process

All investigations are thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt, and fair. Investigations involve interviews with all relevant parties and witnesses; obtaining available, relevant evidence; and identifying sources of expert information, as necessary.

All parties have a full and fair opportunity, through the investigation process, to suggest witnesses and questions, to provide evidence and expert witnesses, and to fully review and respond to all evidence on the record.

The Investigator(s) typically take(s) the following steps, if not already completed (not necessarily in this order):

- Determine the identity and contact information of the Complainant
- In coordination with campus partners (e.g., the Title IX Coordinator), initiate or assist with any necessary supportive measures
- Identify all policies implicated by the alleged misconduct and notify the Complainant and Respondent of all of the specific policies implicated
- Assist the Title IX Coordinator with conducting a prompt initial assessment to determine if the allegations indicate a potential policy violation
- Commence a thorough, reliable, and impartial investigation by identifying issues and developing a strategic investigation plan, including a witness list, evidence list, intended investigation timeframe, and order of interviews for all witnesses and the parties
- Meet with the Complainant to finalize their interview/statement, if necessary
- Prepare the initial Notice of Investigation and Allegation (NOIA). The NOIA may be amended with any additional or dismissed allegations
 - Notice should inform the parties of their right to have the assistance of an Advisor, who could be a member of the Pool or an Advisor of their choosing present for all meetings attended by the party
- Provide each interviewed party and witness an opportunity to review and verify the Investigator's summary notes (or transcript) of the relevant evidence/testimony from their respective interviews and meetings
- Make good faith efforts to notify the parties of any meeting or interview involving the other party, in advance when possible
- When participation of a party is expected, provide that party with written notice of the date, time, and location of the meeting, as well as the expected participants and purpose

- Interview all available, relevant witnesses and conduct follow-up interviews as necessary
- Allow each party the opportunity to suggest witnesses and questions they wish the Investigator(s) to ask of the other party and witnesses, and document in the report which questions were asked, with a rationale for any changes or omissions
- Complete the investigation promptly and without unreasonable deviation from the intended timeline
- Provide regular status updates to the parties throughout the investigation
- Prior to the conclusion of the investigation, provide the parties and their respective Advisors (if so desired by the parties) with a list of witnesses whose information will be used to render a finding
- Write a comprehensive investigation report fully summarizing the investigation all witness interviews, and addressing all relevant evidence. Appendices including relevant physical or documentary evidence will be included
- Prior to the conclusion of the investigation, provide the parties and their respective Advisors (if so desired by the parties) a secured electronic or hard copy of the draft investigation report as well as an opportunity to inspect and review all of the evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the reported misconduct, including evidence upon which the University of Saint Joseph does not intend to rely in reaching a determination, for a ten (10) business day review and comment period so that each party may meaningfully respond to the evidence. The parties may elect to waive the full ten days. Each copy of the materials shared will be watermarked on each page with the role of the person receiving it (e.g., Complainant, Respondent, Complainant's Advisor, Respondent's Advisor).
- The Investigator(s) may elect to respond in writing in the investigation report to the parties' submitted responses and/or to share the responses between the parties for additional responses
- The Investigator(s) will incorporate relevant elements of the parties' written responses into the final investigation report, include any additional relevant evidence, make any necessary revisions, and finalize the report. The Investigator(s) should document all rationales for any changes made after the review and comment period
- The Investigator(s) shares the report with the Title IX Coordinator and/or legal counsel for their review and feedback
- The Investigator will incorporate any relevant feedback, and the final report is then shared with all parties and their Advisors through secure electronic transmission or hard copy at least ten (10) business days prior to a hearing. The parties are also provided with a file of any directly related evidence that was not included in the report

15. Role and Participation of Witnesses in the Investigation

Witnesses (as distinguished from the parties) who are employees of the University of Saint Joseph are expected to cooperate with and participate in the University of Saint Joseph's investigation and resolution process. Failure of such witnesses to cooperate with and/or participate in the investigation or resolution process constitutes a violation of policy and may warrant discipline.

Although in-person interviews for parties and all potential witnesses are ideal, circumstances (e.g., study abroad, summer break, occurrences of nature) may require individuals to be interviewed remotely. Skype, Zoom, FaceTime, WebEx, or similar technologies may be used for interviews if the Investigator(s) determine that timeliness or efficiency dictate a need for remote interviewing. The University of Saint Joseph will take appropriate steps to reasonably ensure the security/privacy of

remote interviews.

16. Recording of Interviews

No unauthorized audio or video recording of any kind is permitted during investigation meetings.

17. Evidentiary Considerations in the Investigation

The investigation does not consider: 1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation, unless they evidence a pattern; 2) the character of the parties; or 3) questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior, unless such questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the conduct alleged by the Complainant, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the Complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the Respondent and are offered to prove consent.

18. Referral for Hearing

Provided that the complaint is not resolved through Informal Resolution, once the final investigation report is shared with the parties, the Title IX Coordinator will refer the matter for a hearing.

The hearing cannot be less than ten (10) business days from the conclusion of the investigation – when the final investigation report is transmitted to the parties and the Decision-maker—unless all parties and the Decision-maker agree to an expedited timeline.

The Title IX Coordinator will select an appropriate Decision-maker or Decision makers from the Pool depending on whether the Respondent is an employee or a student. Allegations involving student-employees in the context of their employment will be directed to the appropriate Decision-maker depending on the context and nature of the alleged misconduct.

19. Hearing Decision-maker Composition

The University of Saint Joseph will designate a single Decision-maker or a three-member panel from the Pool, at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator. The single Decision-maker will also Chair the hearing. With a panel, one of the three members will be appointed as Chair by the Title IX Coordinator.

The Decision-maker(s) will not have had any previous involvement with the investigation. The Title IX Coordinator may elect to have an alternate from the Pool sit in throughout the hearing process in the event that a substitute is needed for any reason.

Those who have served as Investigators will be witnesses in the hearing and therefore may not serve as Decision-makers. Those who are serving as Advisors for any party may not serve as Decision-makers in that matter.

The Title IX Coordinator may not serve as a Decision-maker or Chair in the matter but may serve as an administrative facilitator of the hearing if their previous role(s) in the matter do not create a conflict of interest. Otherwise, a designee may fulfill this role. The hearing will convene at a time

determined by the Chair or designee.

20. Evidentiary Considerations in the Hearing

Any evidence that the Decision-maker(s) determine(s) is relevant and credible may be considered. The hearing does not consider: 1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation, unless they evidence a pattern; 2) the character of the parties; or 3) questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior, unless such questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the conduct alleged by the Complainant, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the Complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the Respondent and are offered to prove consent.

Previous disciplinary action of any kind involving the Respondent may be considered in determining an appropriate sanction upon a determination of responsibility. This information is only considered at the sanction stage of the process and is not shared until then.

The parties may each submit a written impact statement prior to the hearing for the consideration of the Decision-maker(s) at the sanction stage of the process when a determination of responsibility is reached.

After post-hearing deliberation, the Decision-maker renders a determination based on the preponderance of the evidence; whether it is more likely than not that the Respondent violated the Policy as alleged.

21. Notice of Hearing

No less than ten (10) business days prior to the hearing, the Title IX Coordinator or the Chair will send notice of the hearing to the parties. Once emailed to their USJ email account, and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

The notice will contain:

- A description of the alleged violation(s), a list of all policies allegedly violated, a description of the applicable procedures, and a statement of the potential sanctions/responsive actions that could result.
- The time, date, and location of the hearing and a reminder that attendance is mandatory, superseding all other campus activities.
- Any technology that will be used to facilitate the hearing.
- Information about the option for the live hearing to occur with the parties located in separate rooms using technology that enables the Decision-maker(s) and parties to see and hear a party or witness answering questions. Such a request must be raised with the Title IX Coordinator at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing.
- A list of all those who will attend the hearing, along with an invitation to object to any Decision-maker on the basis of demonstrated bias. This must be raised with the Title IX Coordinator at least two (2) business days prior to the hearing.
- Information on how the hearing will be recorded and on access to the recording for the parties after the hearing.
- A statement that if any party or witness does not appear at the scheduled hearing, the hearing may be held in their absence, and the party's or witness's testimony and any statements given prior to the hearing will not be considered by the Decision-maker(s). For

- compelling reasons, the Chair may reschedule the hearing.
- Notification that the parties may have the assistance of an Advisor of their choosing at the hearing and will be required to have one present for any questions they may desire to ask. The party must notify the Title IX Coordinator if they do not have an Advisor, and the University of Saint Joseph will appoint one. Each party must have an Advisor present. There are no exceptions.
 - A copy of all the materials provided to the Decision-maker(s) about the matter, unless they have been provided already.²¹
 - An invitation to each party to submit to the Chair an impact statement pre-hearing that the Decision-maker will review during any sanction determination.
 - An invitation to contact the Title IX Coordinator to arrange any disability accommodations, language assistance, and/or interpretation services that may be needed at the hearing, at least seven (7) business days prior to the hearing.
 - Whether parties can/cannot bring mobile phones/devices into the hearing.

Hearings for possible violations that occur near or after the end of an academic term (assuming the Respondent is still subject to this Policy) and are unable to be resolved prior to the end of term will typically be held immediately after the end of the term or during the summer, as needed, to meet the resolution timeline followed by the University of Saint Joseph and remain within the 60-90 business day goal for resolution.

In these cases, if the Respondent is a graduating student, a hold may be placed on graduation and/or official transcripts until the matter is fully resolved (including any appeal). A student facing charges under this Policy is not in good standing to graduate.

22. Alternative Hearing Participation Options

If a party or parties prefer not to attend or cannot attend the hearing in person, the party should request alternative arrangements from the Title IX Coordinator or the Chair at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing.

The Title IX Coordinator or the Chair can arrange to use technology to allow remote testimony without compromising the fairness of the hearing. Remote options may also be needed for witnesses who cannot appear in person. Any witness who cannot attend in person should let the Title IX Coordinator or the Chair know at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

23. Pre-Hearing Preparation

The Chair or hearing facilitator after any necessary consultation with the parties, Investigator(s) and/or Title IX Coordinator, will provide the names of persons who will be participating in the hearing, all pertinent documentary evidence, and the final investigation report to the parties at least ten (10) business days prior to the hearing.

Any witness scheduled to participate in the hearing must have been first interviewed by the Investigator(s), unless all parties and the Chair assent to the witness's participation in the hearing. The same holds for any evidence that is first offered at the hearing. If the parties and Chair do not

²¹ The final investigation report may be shared using electronic means that preclude downloading, forwarding, or otherwise sharing.

assent to the admission of evidence newly offered at the hearing, the Chair may delay the hearing and instruct that the investigation needs to be re-opened to consider that evidence.

The parties will be given a list of the names of the Decision-maker(s) at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearing. All objections to any Decision-maker must be raised in writing, detailing the rationale for the objection, and must be submitted to the Title IX Coordinator as soon as possible and no later than one day prior to the hearing. Decision-makers will only be removed if the Title IX Coordinator concludes that their bias or conflict of interest precludes an impartial hearing of the allegation(s).

The Title IX Coordinator will give the Decision-maker(s) a list of the names of all parties, witnesses, and Advisors at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearing. Any Decision-maker who cannot make an objective determination must recuse themselves from the proceedings when notified of the identity of the parties, witnesses, and Advisors in advance of the hearing. If a Decision-maker is unsure of whether a bias or conflict of interest exists, they must raise the concern to the Title IX Coordinator as soon as possible.

During the ten (10) business day period prior to the hearing, the parties have the opportunity for continued review and comment on the final investigation report and available evidence. That review and comment can be shared with the Chair at the pre-hearing meeting or at the hearing and will be exchanged between each party by the Chair.

24. Pre-Hearing Meetings

The Chair may convene a pre-hearing meeting(s) with the parties and/or their Advisors to invite them to submit the questions or topics they (the parties and/or their Advisors) wish to ask or discuss at the hearing, so that the Chair can rule on their relevance ahead of time to avoid any improper evidentiary introduction in the hearing or provide recommendations for more appropriate phrasing. However, this advance review opportunity does not preclude the Advisors from asking a question for the first time at the hearing or from asking for a reconsideration based on any new information or testimony offered at the hearing. The Chair must document and share with each party their rationale for any exclusion or inclusion at a pre-hearing meeting.

The Chair, **only** with full agreement of the parties, may decide in advance of the hearing that certain witnesses do not need to be present if their testimony can be adequately summarized by the Investigator(s) in the investigation report or during the hearing.

At each pre-hearing meeting with a party and their Advisor, the Chair will consider arguments that evidence identified in the final investigation report as relevant is, in fact, not relevant. Similarly, evidence identified as directly related but not relevant by the Investigator(s) may be argued to be relevant.

The Chair may rule on these arguments pre-hearing and will exchange those rulings between the parties prior to the hearing to assist in preparation for the hearing. The Chair may consult with legal counsel and/or the Title IX Coordinator or ask either or both to attend pre-hearing meetings.

The pre-hearing meeting(s) will be recorded.

25. Hearing Procedures

At the hearing, the Decision-maker(s) has the authority to hear and make determinations on all

allegations of sexual harassment and/or retaliation and may also hear and make determinations on any additional alleged policy violations that have occurred in concert with the sexual harassment and/or retaliation, even though those collateral allegations may not specifically fall within the Policy.

Participants at the hearing will include the Chair, any additional panelists, the hearing facilitator, the Investigator(s) who conducted the investigation, the parties (or three (3) organizational representatives when an organization is the Respondent)²², Advisors to the parties, any called witnesses, the Title IX Coordinator and anyone providing authorized accommodations or assistive services.

The Chair will answer all questions of procedure. Anyone appearing at the hearing to provide information will respond to questions on their own behalf.

The Chair will allow witnesses who have relevant information to appear at a portion of the hearing in order to respond to specific questions from the Decision-maker(s) and the parties and will then be excused.

26. Joint Hearings

In hearings involving more than one Respondent or in which two (2) or more Complainants have accused the same individual of substantially similar conduct, the default procedure will be to hear the allegations jointly.

However, the Title IX Coordinator may permit the investigation and/or hearings pertinent to each Respondent to be conducted separately if there is a compelling reason to do so. In joint hearings, separate determinations of responsibility will be made for each Respondent with respect to each alleged policy violation.

27. The Order of the Hearing – Introductions and Explanation of Procedure

The Chair explains the procedures and introduces the participants. This may include a final opportunity for challenge or recusal of the Decision-maker(s) on the basis of bias or conflict of interest. The Chair will rule on any such challenge unless the Chair is the individual who is the subject of the challenge, in which case the Title IX Coordinator will review and decide the challenge.

At the hearing, recording, witness logistics, party logistics, curation of documents, separation of the parties, and other administrative elements of the hearing process are managed by a non-voting hearing facilitator appointed by the Title IX Coordinator. The hearing facilitator may attend to: logistics of rooms for various parties/witnesses as they wait; flow of parties/witnesses in and out of the hearing space; ensuring recording and/or virtual conferencing technology is working as intended; copying and distributing materials to participants, as appropriate, etc.

28. Investigator Presents the Final Investigation Report

The Investigator(s) will then present a summary of the final investigation report, including items that are contested and those that are not, and will be subject to questioning by the Decision-maker(s) and the parties (through their Advisors). The Investigator(s) will be present during the entire hearing

²² Subject to the University of Saint Joseph's Code of Organizational Conduct.

process, but not during deliberations.

Neither the parties nor the Decision-maker(s) should ask the Investigator(s) their opinions on credibility, recommended findings, or determinations, and the Investigators, Advisors, and parties will refrain from discussion of or questions about these assessments. If such information is introduced, the Chair will direct that it be disregarded.

29. Testimony and Questioning

Once the Investigator(s) present their report and are questioned, the parties and witnesses may provide relevant information in turn, beginning with the Complainant, and then in the order determined by the Chair. The parties/witnesses will submit to questioning by the Decision-maker(s) and then by the parties through their Advisors (“cross-examination”).

All questions are subject to a relevance determination by the Chair. The Advisor, who will remain seated during questioning, will pose the proposed question orally, electronically, or in writing (orally is the default, but other means of submission may be permitted by the Chair upon request if agreed to by all parties and the Chair), the proceeding will pause to allow the Chair to consider it (and state it if it has not been stated aloud), and the Chair will determine whether the question will be permitted, disallowed, or rephrased.

The Chair may invite explanations or persuasive statements regarding relevance with the Advisors, if the Chair so chooses. The Chair will then state their decision on the question for the record and advise the party/witness to whom the question was directed, accordingly. The Chair will explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant, or to reframe it for relevance.

The Chair will limit or disallow questions on the basis that they are irrelevant, unduly repetitious (and thus irrelevant), or abusive. The Chair has final say on all questions and determinations of relevance. The Chair may consult with legal counsel on any questions of admissibility. The Chair may ask Advisors to frame why a question is or is not relevant from their perspective but will not entertain argument from the Advisors on relevance once the Chair has ruled on a question.

If the parties raise an issue of bias or conflict of interest of an Investigator or Decision-maker at the hearing, the Chair may elect to address those issues, consult with legal counsel, and/or refer them to the Title IX Coordinator, and/or preserve them for appeal. If bias is not in issue at the hearing, the Chair should not permit irrelevant questions that probe for bias.

30. Refusal to Submit to Cross-Examination and Inferences

If a party or witness chooses not to submit to cross-examination at the hearing, either because they do not attend the meeting, or they attend but refuse to participate in questioning, then the Decision-maker(s) may not rely on any prior statement made by that party or witness at the hearing (including those contained in the investigation report) in the ultimate determination of responsibility. The Decision-maker(s) must disregard that statement. Evidence provided that is something other than a statement by the party or witness may be considered.

If the party or witness attends the hearing and answers some cross-examination questions, only statements related to the cross-examination questions they refuse to answer cannot be relied upon. However, if the statements of the party who is refusing to submit to cross-examination or refuses to attend the hearing are the subject of the allegation itself (e.g., the case is about verbal harassment or a quid pro quo offer), then those statements are not precluded from admission. Similarly,

statements can be relied upon when questions are posed by the Decision-maker(s), as distinguished from questions posed by Advisors through cross-examination.²³

The Decision-maker(s) may not draw any inference solely from a party's or witness's absence from the hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination or other questions.

If charges of policy violations other than sexual harassment are considered at the same hearing, the Decision-maker(s) may consider all evidence it deems relevant, may rely on any relevant statement as long as the opportunity for cross-examination is afforded to all parties through their Advisors, and may draw reasonable inferences from any decision by any party or witness not to participate or respond to questions.

If a party's Advisor of choice refuses to comply with the University of Saint Joseph's established rules of decorum for the hearing, the University of Saint Joseph may require the party to use a different Advisor. If a University of Saint Joseph-provided Advisor refuses to comply with the rules of decorum, the University of Saint Joseph may provide that party with a different Advisor to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party.

31. Recording Hearings

Hearings (but not deliberations) are recorded by the University of Saint Joseph for purposes of review in the event of an appeal. The parties may not record the proceedings and no other unauthorized recordings are permitted.

The Decision-maker(s), the parties, their Advisors, and appropriate administrators of the University of Saint Joseph will be permitted to listen to the recording in a controlled environment determined by the Title IX Coordinator. No person will be given or be allowed to make a copy of the recording without permission of the Title IX Coordinator.

32. Deliberation, Decision-making, and Standard of Proof

The Decision-maker(s) will deliberate in closed session to determine whether the Respondent is responsible or not responsible for the policy violation(s) in question. If a panel is used, a simple majority vote is required to determine the finding. The preponderance of evidence standard of proof is used. The hearing facilitator may be invited to attend the deliberation by the Chair, but is there only to facilitate procedurally, not to address the substance of the allegations.

When there is a finding of responsibility on one or more of the allegations, the Decision-maker(s) may then consider the previously submitted party impact statements in determining appropriate sanction(s).

The Chair will ensure that each of the parties has an opportunity to review any impact statement submitted by the other party(ies). The Decision-maker(s) may – at their discretion – consider the statements, but they are not binding.

The Decision-maker(s) will review the statements and any pertinent conduct history provided by the Chair and will determine the appropriate sanction(s) in consultation with other appropriate administrators, as required.

²³ Although it does say this in the preamble (p. 30349) to the Title IX regulations, it is unclear exactly what the Department of Education means by it. Proceed with caution.

The Chair will then prepare a written deliberation statement and deliver it to the Title IX Coordinator, detailing the determination, rationale, the evidence used in support of its determination, the evidence not relied upon in its determination, credibility assessments, and any sanctions

This report is typically three (3) to five (5) pages in length and must be submitted to the Title IX Coordinator within two (2) business days of the end of deliberations, unless the Title IX Coordinator grants an extension. If an extension is granted, the Title IX Coordinator will notify the parties.

33. Notice of Outcome

Using the deliberation statement, the Title IX Coordinator will work with the Chair to prepare a Notice of Outcome. The Title IX Coordinator will then share the letter, including the final determination, rationale, and any applicable sanction(s) with the parties and their Advisors within 1 business day of receiving the Decision-maker(s)' deliberation statement.

The Notice of Outcome will then be shared with the parties simultaneously to their USJ email address. Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person, or emailed to the parties' University of Saint Joseph-issued email. Once emailed, and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

The Notice of Outcome will articulate the specific policy(ies) reported to have been violated, including the relevant policy section, and will contain a description of the procedural steps taken by the University of Saint Joseph from the receipt of the misconduct report to the determination, including any and all notifications to the parties, interviews with parties and witnesses, site visits, methods used to obtain evidence, and hearings held.

The Notice of Outcome will specify the finding on each alleged policy violation; the findings of fact that support the determination; conclusions regarding the application of the relevant policy to the facts at issue; a statement of, and rationale for, the result of each allegation to the extent the University of Saint Joseph is permitted to share such information under state or federal law; any sanctions issued which the University of Saint Joseph is permitted to share according to state or federal law; and any remedies provided to the Complainant designed to ensure access to the University of Saint Joseph's educational or employment program or activity, to the extent the University of Saint Joseph is permitted to share such information under state or federal law (this detail is not typically shared with the Respondent unless the remedy directly relates to the Respondent).

The Notice of Outcome will also include information on when the results are considered by the University of Saint Joseph to be final, any changes that occur prior to finalization, and the relevant procedures and bases for any available appeal options.

34. Statement of the Rights of the Parties [\(see Appendix B\)](#)

35. Sanctions

Factors considered when determining a sanction/responsive action may include, but are not limited to:

- The nature, severity of, and circumstances surrounding the violation(s)
- The Respondent's disciplinary history

- Previous allegations or allegations involving similar conduct
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to bring an end to the sexual Harassment and/or retaliation
- The need for sanctions/responsive actions to prevent the future recurrence of sexual harassment and/or retaliation
- The need to remedy the effects of the sexual harassment and/or retaliation on the Complainant and the community
- The impact on the parties
- Any other information deemed relevant by the Decision-maker(s)

The sanctions will be implemented as soon as is feasible, either upon the outcome of any appeal or the expiration of the window to appeal without an appeal being requested.

The sanctions described in this policy are not exclusive of, and may be in addition to, other actions taken or sanctions imposed by external authorities.

a. Student Sanctions

The following are the usual sanctions²⁴ that may be imposed upon students or organizations singly or in combination²⁵:

- *Warning*: A formal statement that the conduct was unacceptable and a warning that further violation of any University of Saint Joseph policy, procedure, or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions.
- *Required Counseling*: A mandate to meet with and engage in either University of Saint Joseph-sponsored or external counseling to better comprehend the misconduct and its effects.
- *Probation*: A written reprimand for violation of institutional policy, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions in the event that the student or organization is found in violation of any institutional policy, procedure, or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be articulated and may include denial of specified social privileges, exclusion from co-curricular activities, exclusion from designated areas of campus, no-contact orders, and/or other measures deemed appropriate.
- *Suspension*: Termination of student status for a definite period of time not to exceed two years and/or until specific criteria are met. Students who return from suspension are automatically placed on probation through the remainder of their tenure as a student at University of Saint Joseph.
- *Expulsion*: Permanent termination of student status and revocation of rights to be on campus for any reason or to attend University of Saint Joseph-sponsored events. This sanction will be noted permanently as a Conduct Expulsion on the student's official transcript.
- *Withholding Diploma*: The University of Saint Joseph may withhold a student's diploma for a specified period of time and/or deny a student participation in commencement activities if the student has an allegation pending or as a sanction if the student is found responsible for an alleged violation.
- *Revocation of Degree*: The University of Saint Joseph reserves the right to revoke a degree previously awarded from the University of Saint Joseph for fraud, misrepresentation, and/or other violation of University of Saint Joseph policies, procedures, or directives in obtaining

²⁴ University of Saint Joseph policies on transcript notation will apply to these proceedings.

²⁵ Subject to University of Saint Joseph's Organizational Code of Conduct.

- the degree, or for other serious violations committed by a student prior to graduation.
- *Organizational Sanctions:* Deactivation, loss of recognition, loss of some or all privileges (including University of Saint Joseph registration) for a specified period of time.
- *Other Actions:* In addition to or in place of the above sanctions, the University of Saint Joseph may assign any other sanctions as deemed appropriate.

b. Employee Sanctions/Responsive Actions

Responsive actions for an employee who has engaged in harassment and/or retaliation include:

- *Warning – Verbal or Written*
- *Performance Improvement Plan/Management Process*
- *Enhanced supervision, observation, or review*
- *Required Counseling*
- *Required Training or Education*
- *Probation*
- *Denial of Pay Increase/Pay Grade*
- *Loss of Oversight or Supervisory Responsibility*
- *Demotion*
- *Transfer*
- *Reassignment*
- *Delay of tenure track progress*
- *Assignment to new supervisor*
- *Restriction of stipends, research, and/or professional development resources*
- *Suspension with pay*
- *Suspension without pay*
- *Termination*
- *Other Actions:* In addition to or in place of the above sanctions/responsive actions, the University of Saint Joseph may assign any other responsive actions as deemed appropriate.

36. Withdrawal or Resignation While Charges Pending

a. Students: If a student has an allegation pending for violation of the Policy, the University of Saint Joseph may place a hold on a student's ability to graduate and/or to receive an official transcript/diploma.

Should a student decide to not participate in the resolution process, the process proceeds absent their participation to a reasonable resolution. Should a student Respondent permanently withdraw from the University of Saint Joseph, the resolution process ends, as the University of Saint Joseph no longer has disciplinary jurisdiction over the withdrawn student.

However, the University of Saint Joseph will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues, variables that may have contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged sexual harassment and/or retaliation. The student who withdraws or leaves while the process is pending may not return to the University of Saint Joseph. Such exclusion applies to all campuses of University of Saint Joseph. A hold will be placed on their ability to be readmitted. They may also be barred from University of Saint Joseph property and/or events.

If the student Respondent only withdraws or takes a leave for a specified period of time (e.g., one semester or term), the resolution process may continue remotely and that student is not permitted

to return to University of Saint Joseph unless and until all sanctions have been satisfied.

b. Employees: Should an employee Respondent resign with unresolved allegations pending, the resolution process ends, as the University of Saint Joseph no longer has disciplinary jurisdiction over the resigned employee.

However, the University of Saint Joseph will continue to address and remedy any systemic issues, variables that contributed to the alleged violation(s), and any ongoing effects of the alleged harassment or retaliation.

The employee who resigns with unresolved allegations pending is not eligible for rehire with the University of Saint Joseph or any campus of the University of Saint Joseph, and the records retained by the Title IX Coordinator will reflect that status.

All University of Saint Joseph responses to future inquiries regarding employment references for that individual will include that the former employee resigned during a pending disciplinary matter.

37. Appeals

Any party may file a request for appeal ("Request for Appeal"), but it must be submitted in writing to the Title IX Coordinator within five days of the delivery of the Notice of Outcome.

A single Appeal Decision-maker will Chair the appeal. No Decision-maker will have been involved in the process previously, including any dismissal appeal that may have been heard earlier in the process.

The Request for Appeal will be forwarded to the Appeal Chair for consideration to determine if the request meets the grounds for appeal (a Review for Standing).

This review is not a review of the merits of the appeal, but solely a determination as to whether the request meets the grounds and is timely filed.

a. Grounds for Appeal

Appeals are limited to the following grounds:

- (A) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter;
- (B) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made, that could affect the outcome of the matter; and
- (C) The Title IX Coordinator, Investigator(s), or Decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the specific Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.

If any of the grounds in the Request for Appeal do not meet the grounds in this Policy, that request will be denied by the Appeal Chair and the parties and their Advisors will be notified in writing of the denial and the rationale.

If any of the grounds in the Request for Appeal meet the grounds in this Policy, then the Appeal Chair will notify the other party(ies) and their Advisors, the Title IX Coordinator, and, when appropriate, the Investigators and/or the original Decision-maker(s).

The other party(ies) and their Advisors, the Title IX Coordinator, and, when appropriate, the Investigators and/or the original Decision-maker(s) will be mailed, emailed, and/or provided a hard copy of the request with the approved grounds and then be given five business days to submit a response to the portion of the appeal that was approved and involves them. All responses will be forwarded by the Chair to all parties for review and comment.

The non-appealing party (if any) may also choose to raise a new ground for appeal at this time. If so, that will be reviewed to determine if it meets the grounds in this Policy by the Appeal Chair and either denied or approved. If approved, it will be forwarded to the party who initially requested an appeal, the Investigator(s) and/or original Decision-maker(s), as necessary, who will submit their responses in 7 business days, which will be circulated for review and comment by all parties.

Neither party may submit any new requests for appeal after this time period. The Appeal Chair will collect any additional information needed and all documentation regarding the approved grounds and the subsequent responses and the Chair will render a decision in no more than 10 business days, barring exigent circumstances. All decisions apply the preponderance of the evidence.

A Notice of Appeal Outcome will be sent to all parties simultaneously including the decision on each approved ground and rationale for each decision. The Notice of Appeal Outcome will specify the finding on each ground for appeal, any specific instructions for remand or reconsideration, any sanctions that may result which the University of Saint Joseph is permitted to share according to state or federal law, and the rationale supporting the essential findings to the extent the University of Saint Joseph is permitted to share under state or federal law.

Notification will be made in writing and may be delivered by one or more of the following methods: in person, mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official institutional records, or emailed to the parties' University of Saint Joseph-issued email or otherwise approved account. Once mailed, emailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered.

b. Sanctions Status During the Appeal

Any sanctions imposed as a result of the hearing are stayed during the appeal process. Supportive measures may be reinstated, subject to the same supportive measure procedures above.

If any of the sanctions are to be implemented immediately post-hearing, but pre-appeal, then emergency removal procedures (detailed above) for a hearing on the justification for doing so must be permitted within 48 hours of implementation.

University of Saint Joseph may still place holds on official transcripts, diplomas, graduations, and course registration pending the outcome of an appeal when the original sanctions included separation.

c. Appeal Considerations

- Decisions on appeal are to be deferential to the original decision, making changes to the

finding only when there is clear error and to the sanction(s)/responsive action(s) only if there is a compelling justification to do so.

- Appeals are not intended to provide for a full re-hearing (de novo) of the allegation(s). In most cases, appeals are confined to a review of the written documentation or record of the original hearing and pertinent documentation regarding the specific grounds for appeal.
- An appeal is not an opportunity for Appeal Decision-makers to substitute their judgment for that of the original Decision-maker(s) merely because they disagree with the finding and/or sanction(s).
- The Appeal Chair/Decision-maker(s) may consult with the Title IX Coordinator on questions of procedure or rationale, for clarification, if needed. Documentation of all such consultation will be maintained.
- Appeals granted based on new evidence should normally be remanded to the original Investigator(s) and/or Decision-maker(s) for reconsideration. Other appeals may be remanded at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator or, in limited circumstances, decided on appeal.
- Once an appeal is decided, the outcome is final: further appeals are not permitted, even if a decision or sanction is changed on remand (except in the case of a new hearing).
- In rare cases where a procedural or substantive error cannot be cured by the original Decision-maker(s) (as in cases of bias), the appeal may order a new hearing with a new Decision-maker(s).
- The results of a remand to a Decision-maker(s) cannot be appealed. The results of a new hearing can be appealed, once, on any of the three available appeal grounds.
- In cases in which the appeal results in reinstatement to the University of Saint Joseph or resumption of privileges, all reasonable attempts will be made to restore the Respondent to their prior status, recognizing that some opportunities lost may be irreparable in the short term.

38. Long-Term Remedies/Other Actions

Following the conclusion of the resolution process, and in addition to any sanctions implemented, the Title IX Coordinator may implement additional long-term remedies or actions with respect to the parties and/or the campus community that are intended to stop the sexual harassment and/or retaliation, remedy the effects, and prevent reoccurrence.

These remedies/actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Referral to counseling and health services
- Referral to the Employee Assistance Program
- Education to the individual and/or the community
- Permanent alteration of housing assignments
- Permanent alteration of work arrangements for employees
- Provision of campus safety escorts
- Climate surveys
- Policy modification and/or training
- Provision of transportation accommodations
- Implementation of long-term contact limitations between the parties
- Implementation of adjustments to academic deadlines, course schedules, etc.

At the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, certain long-term support or measures may also be provided to the parties even if no policy violation is found.

When no policy violation is found, the Title IX Coordinator will address any remedies owed by the University of Saint Joseph to the Respondent to ensure no effective denial of educational access.

The University of Saint Joseph will maintain the privacy of any long-term remedies/actions/measures, provided privacy does not impair the University of Saint Joseph's ability to provide these services.

39. Failure to Comply with Sanctions and/or Interim and Long-term Remedies and/or Responsive Actions

All Respondents are expected to comply with the assigned sanctions, responsive actions, and/or corrective actions within the timeframe specified by the final Decision-maker(s) (including the Appeal Chair/Panel).

Failure to abide by the sanction(s)/action(s) imposed by the date specified, whether by refusal, neglect, or any other reason, may result in additional sanction(s)/action(s), including suspension, expulsion, and/or termination from the University of Saint Joseph and may be noted on a student's official transcript.

A suspension will only be lifted when compliance is achieved to the satisfaction of the Title IX Coordinator.

40. Recordkeeping

University of Saint Joseph will maintain for a period of at least seven years records of:

1. Each sexual harassment investigation including any determination regarding responsibility and any audio or audiovisual recording or transcript required under federal regulation;
2. Any disciplinary sanctions imposed on the Respondent;
3. Any remedies provided to the Complainant designed to restore or preserve equal access to the University of Saint Joseph's education program or activity;
4. Any appeal and the result therefrom;
5. Any Informal Resolution and the result therefrom;
6. All materials used to train Title IX Coordinators, Investigators, Decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an Informal Resolution process. University of Saint Joseph will make these training materials publicly available on University of Saint Joseph's website. and
7. Any actions, including any supportive measures, taken in response to a report or formal complaint of sexual harassment, including:
 - a. The basis for all conclusions that the response was not deliberately indifferent;
 - b. Any measures designed to restore or preserve equal access to the University of Saint Joseph's education program or activity; and
 - c. If no supportive measures were provided to the Complainant, document the reasons why such a response was not clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances.

University of Saint Joseph will also maintain any and all records in accordance with state and federal laws.

41. Disabilities Accommodations in the Resolution Process

University of Saint Joseph is committed to providing reasonable accommodations and support to

qualified students, employees, or others with disabilities to ensure equal access to the University of Saint Joseph's resolution process.

Anyone needing such accommodations or support should contact the Director of Disability Services or Appropriate HR individual if employee, who will review the request and, in consultation with the person requesting the accommodation and the Title IX Coordinator, determine which accommodations are appropriate and necessary for full participation in the process.

42. Revision of this Policy and Procedures

This Policy and procedures supersede any previous policy(ies) addressing harassment, sexual misconduct and/or retaliation under Title IX and will be reviewed and updated annually by the Title IX Coordinator. The University of Saint Joseph reserves the right to make changes to this document as necessary, and once those changes are posted online, they are in effect.

During the resolution process, the Title IX Coordinator may make minor modifications to procedures that do not materially jeopardize the fairness owed to any party, such as to accommodate summer schedules. The Title IX Coordinator may also vary procedures materially with notice (on the institutional website, with the appropriate effective date identified) upon determining that changes to law or regulation require policy or procedural alterations not reflected in this Policy and procedures.

If government laws or regulations change – or court decisions alter – the requirements in a way that impacts this document, this document will be construed to comply with the most recent government regulations or holdings.

This document does not create legally enforceable protections beyond the protection of the background state and federal laws which frame such policies and codes, generally.

This Policy and procedures are effective August 14, 2020

APPENDIX A: POLICY EXAMPLES

Some examples of possible sexual harassment include:

- A professor offers for a student to have sex or go on a date with them in exchange for a good grade. This constitutes sexual harassment regardless of whether the student accedes to the request and irrespective of whether a good grade is promised or a bad grade is threatened.
- A student repeatedly sends graphic, sexually-oriented jokes and pictures around campus via social media to hundreds of other students. Many don't find it funny and ask them to stop, but they do not. Because of these jokes, one student avoids the sender on campus and in the residence hall in which they both live, eventually asking to move to a different building and dropping a class they had together.
- A professor engages students in class in discussions about the students' past sexual experiences, yet the conversations are not in any way germane to the subject matter of the class. The professor inquires about explicit details and demands that students answer them, though the students are clearly uncomfortable and hesitant.
- An ex-partner widely spreads false stories about their sex life with their former partner to the clear discomfort and frustration of the former partner, turning the former partner into a social pariah on campus.
- Chris has recently transitioned from male to non-binary, but primarily expresses as a female. Since their transition, Chris has noticed that their African Studies professor, Dr. Mukembo, pays them a lot more attention. Chris is sexually attracted to Professor Mukembo and believes the attraction is mutual. Chris decides to act on the attraction. One day, Chris visits Dr. Mukembo during office hours, and after a long conversation about being non-binary, Chris kisses Dr. Mukembo. Dr. Mukembo is taken aback, stops the kiss, and tells Chris not to do that. Dr. Mukembo explains to Chris that they are not interested in Chris sexually or romantically. Chris takes it hard, crying to Dr. Mukembo about how hard it is to find someone who is interested in them now based on their identity. Dr. Mukembo feels sorry for Chris and softens the blow by telling them that no matter whether they like Chris or not, faculty-student relationships are prohibited by the university. Chris takes this as encouragement. One night, Chris goes to a gay bar some distance from campus and sees Dr. Mukembo at the bar. Chris tries to buy Dr. Mukembo a drink and, again, tries to kiss Dr. Mukembo. Dr. Mukembo leaves the bar abruptly. The next day, Chris makes several online posts that out Dr. Mukembo as gay and raise questions about whether they are sexually involved with students. Dr. Mukembo contacts the Title IX Office and alleges that Chris is sexually harassing him.

Examples of Stalking

- Students A and B were "friends with benefits." Student A wanted a more serious relationship, which caused student B to break it off. Student A could not let go, and pursued student B relentlessly. Student B obtained a campus no-contact order. Subsequently, Student B discovered their social media accounts were being accessed, and things were being posted and messaged as if they were from them, but they were not. Whoever accessed their account posted a picture of a penis, making it look as if they had sent out a picture of themselves, though it was not their penis. This caused them considerable embarrassment and social anxiety. They changed their passwords, only to have it happen

again. Seeking help from the Title IX Coordinator, Student B met with the IT department, which discovered an app on their phone and a keystroke recorder on their laptop, both of which were being used to transmit their data to a third party.

- A graduate student working as an on-campus tutor received flowers and gifts delivered to their office. After learning the gifts were from a student they recently tutored, the graduate student thanked the student and stated that it was not necessary and would appreciate it if the gift deliveries stopped. The student then started leaving notes of love and gratitude on the tutor's car, both on-campus and at home. Asked again to stop, the student stated by email, "You can ask me to stop, but I'm not giving up. We are meant to be together, and I'll do anything to make you have the feelings for me that I have for you." When the tutor did not respond, the student emailed again, "You cannot escape me. I will track you to the ends of the earth. If I can't have you, no one will."

Examples of Sexual Assault:

- Amanda and Bill meet at a party. They spend the evening dancing and getting to know each other. Bill convinces Amanda to come up to his room. From 11:00 p.m. until 3:00 a.m., Bill uses every line he can think of to convince Amanda to have sex with him, but she adamantly refuses. Despite her clear communications that she is not interested in doing anything sexual with him, Bill keeps at her, questions her religious convictions, and accuses her of being "a prude." He brings up several rumors that he has heard about how she performed oral sex on a number of other guys. Finally, it seems to Bill that her resolve is weakening, and he convinces her to "jerk him off" (hand to genital contact). Amanda would have never done it but for Bill's incessant advances. He feels that he successfully seduced her and that she wanted to do it all along but was playing shy and hard to get. Why else would she have come up to his room alone after the party? If she really didn't want it, she could have left.
- Jiang is a junior. Beth is a sophomore. Jiang comes to Beth's residence hall room with some mutual friends to watch a movie. Jiang and Beth, who have never met before, are attracted to each other. After the movie, everyone leaves, and Jiang and Beth are alone. They hit it off, soon become more intimate, and start to make out. Jiang verbally expresses his desire to have sex with Beth. Beth, who was abused by a babysitter at the age of five and avoids sexual relations as a result, is shocked at how quickly things are progressing. As Jiang takes her by the wrist over to the bed, lays her down, undresses, and begins to have intercourse with Beth, Beth has a severe flashback to her childhood trauma. She wants to tell Jiang to stop but cannot. Beth is stiff and unresponsive during the intercourse.
- Kevin and John are at a party. Kevin is not sure how much John has been drinking, but he is pretty sure it's a lot. After the party, he walks John to his apartment, and John comes on to Kevin, initiating sexual activity. Kevin asks John if he is really up to this, and John says yes. They remove each other's clothes, and they end up in John's bed. Suddenly, John runs for the bathroom. When he returns, his face is pale, and Kevin thinks he may have thrown up. John gets back into bed, and they begin to have sexual intercourse. Kevin is having a good time, though he can't help but notice that John seems pretty groggy and passive, and he thinks John may have even passed out briefly during the sex, but he came to again. When Kevin runs into John the next day, he thanks him for the great night. John remembers nothing and decides to make a report to the Dean.

Examples of Retaliation:

- Student-athlete A alleges sexual harassment by a coach; the coach subsequently cuts the student-athlete's playing time without a legitimate justification.
- A faculty member alleges gender inequity in pay within her department; the Department Chair then revokes his approval for her to attend a national conference, citing the faculty member's tendency to "ruffle feathers."
- A student from Organization A participates in a sexual harassment investigation as a witness whose testimony is damaging to the Respondent, who is also a member of Organization A; the student is subsequently removed as a member of Organization A because of their participation in the investigation.

APPENDIX B: STATEMENT OF RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES

- The right to an equitable investigation and resolution of all credible allegations of prohibited harassment or retaliation made in good faith to University of Saint Joseph officials.
- The right to timely written notice of all alleged violations, including the identity of the parties involved (if known), the precise misconduct being alleged, the date and location of the alleged misconduct (if known), the implicated policies and procedures, and possible sanctions.
- The right to timely written notice of any material adjustments to the allegations (e.g., additional incidents or allegations, additional Complainants, unsubstantiated allegations) and any attendant adjustments needed to clarify potentially implicated policy violations.
- The right to be informed in advance of any public release of information regarding the allegation(s) or underlying incident(s), whenever possible.
- The right not to have any personally identifiable information released to the public without consent provided, except to the extent permitted by law.
- The right to be treated with respect by University of Saint Joseph officials.
- The right to have University of Saint Joseph policies and procedures followed without material deviation.
- The right not to be pressured to mediate or otherwise informally resolve any reported misconduct involving violence, including sexual violence.
- The right not to be discouraged by University of Saint Joseph officials from reporting sexual harassment or retaliation to both on-campus and off-campus authorities.
- The right to be informed by University of Saint Joseph officials of options to notify proper law enforcement authorities, including on-campus and local police, and the option(s) to be assisted by University of Saint Joseph authorities in notifying such authorities, if the party so chooses. This also includes the right not to be pressured to report, as well.
- The right to have allegations of violations of this Policy responded to promptly and with sensitivity by University of Saint Joseph Campus Safety and/or other University of Saint Joseph officials.

- The right to be informed of available interim actions and supportive measures, such as counseling; advocacy; health care; court services, student financial aid, visa, and immigration assistance; or other services, both on campus and in the community.
- The right to a University of Saint Joseph-implemented no-contact order, or a no-trespass order against a non-affiliated third party, when a person has engaged in or threatens to engage in stalking, threatening, harassing, or other improper conduct.
- The right to be informed of available assistance in changing academic, living, and/or working situations after an alleged incident of sexual harassment and/or retaliation, if such changes are reasonably available. No formal report, or investigation, either campus or criminal, needs to occur before this option is available. Such actions may include, but are not limited to:
 - Relocating an on-campus student's housing to a different on-campus location
 - Assistance from University of Saint Joseph staff in completing the relocation
 - Changing an employee's work environment (e.g., reporting structure, office/workspace relocation)
 - Transportation accommodations
 - Visa/immigration assistance
 - Arranging to dissolve a housing contract and a pro-rated refund
 - Exam, paper, and/or assignment rescheduling or adjustment
 - Receiving an incomplete in, or a withdrawal from, a class (may be retroactive)
 - Transferring class sections
 - Temporary withdrawal/leave of absence (may be retroactive)
 - Campus safety escorts
 - Alternative course completion options.
- The right to have the University of Saint Joseph maintain such actions for as long as necessary and for supportive measures to remain private, provided privacy does not impair the University of Saint Joseph's ability to provide the supportive measures.
- The right to receive sufficiently advanced, written notice of any meeting or interview involving the other party, when possible.
- The right to ask the Investigator(s) and Decision-maker(s) to identify and question relevant witnesses, including expert witnesses.
- The right to provide the Investigator(s)/Decision-maker(s) with a list of questions that, if deemed relevant by the Investigator(s)/Chair, may be asked of any party or witness.
- The right not to have irrelevant prior sexual history or character admitted as evidence.
- The right to know the relevant and directly related evidence obtained and to respond to that evidence.
- The right to fair opportunity to provide the Investigator(s) with their account of the alleged misconduct and have that account be on the record.
- The right to receive a copy of the investigation report, including all factual, policy, and/or credibility analyses performed, and all relevant and directly related evidence available and used to produce the investigation report, subject to the privacy limitations imposed by state and

federal law, prior to the hearing, and the right to have at least ten (10) business days to review the report prior to the hearing.

- The right to respond to the investigation report, including comments providing any additional relevant evidence after the opportunity to review the investigation report, and to have that response on the record.
- The right to be informed of the names of all witnesses whose information will be used to make a finding, in advance of that finding, when relevant.
- The right to regular updates on the status of the investigation and/or resolution.
- The right to have reports of alleged Policy violations addressed by Investigators, Title IX Coordinators, and Decision-maker(s) who have received at least eight hours of relevant annual training.
- The right to a Hearing Panel that is not single-sex in its composition, if a panel is used.
- The right to preservation of privacy, to the extent possible and permitted by law.
- The right to meetings, interviews, and/or hearings that are closed to the public.
- The right to petition that any University of Saint Joseph representative in the process be recused on the basis of disqualifying bias and/or conflict of interest.
- The right to have an Advisor of their choice to accompany and assist the party in all meetings and/or interviews associated with the resolution process.
- The right to have the University of Saint Joseph compel the participation of faculty and staff witnesses.
- The right to the use of the appropriate standard of evidence; The University will employ the preponderance of evidence standard to make a finding after an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence.
- The right to be present, including presence via remote technology, during all testimony given and evidence presented during any formal grievance hearing.
- The right to have an impact statement considered by the Decision-maker(s) following a determination of responsibility for any allegation, but prior to sanctioning.
- The right to be promptly informed in a written Notice of Outcome letter of the finding(s) and sanction(s) of the resolution process and a detailed rationale of the decision (including an explanation of how credibility was assessed), delivered simultaneously (without undue delay) to the parties.
- The right to be informed in writing of when a decision by the University of Saint Joseph is considered final and any changes to the sanction(s) that occur before the decision is finalized.

- The right to be informed of the opportunity to appeal the finding(s) and sanction(s) of the resolution process, and the procedures for doing so in accordance with the standards for appeal established by the University of Saint Joseph.
- The right to a fundamentally fair resolution as defined in these procedures.

APPENDIX C: VIOLENCE RISK ASSESSMENT (VRA)

Threat assessment is the process of assessing the actionability of violence by an individual against another person or group following the issuance of a direct or conditional threat. A **Violence Risk Assessment (VRA)** is a broader term used to assess any potential violence or danger, regardless of the presence of a vague, conditional, or direct threat.

The implementation of VRAs require specific training and are typically conducted by psychologists, clinical counselors, social workers, case managers, law enforcement officers, student conduct officers, and/or other Behavioral Intervention Team (BIT) (sometimes also known as CARE teams) members.

A VRA occurs in collaboration with the BIT, CARE, and or threat assessment team and must be understood as an on-going process, rather than a singular evaluation or meeting. A VRA is not an evaluation for an involuntary behavioral health hospitalization (e.g., 5150 in California, Section XII in Massachusetts, Baker Act in Florida), nor is it a psychological or mental health assessment.

A VRA assesses the risk of actionable violence, often with a focus on targeted/predatory escalations, and is supported by research from the fields of law enforcement, criminology, human resources, and psychology.

When conducting a VRA, the assessor(s) use an evidence-based process consisting of:

1. an appraisal of **risk factors** that escalate the potential for violence;
2. a determination of **stabilizing influences** that reduce the risk of violence;
3. a contextual **analysis of violence risk** by considering environmental circumstances, hopelessness, and suicidality; catalyst events; nature and actionability of threat; fixation and focus on target; grievance collection; and action and time imperative for violence; and
4. the application of **intervention and management** approaches to reduce the risk of violence.

To assess an individual's level of violence risk, the Title IX Coordinator will initiate the violence risk assessment process through the CAT. The CAT will assign a trained individual(s) to perform the assessment, according to the specific nature of the Title IX case.

The assessor will follow the process for conducting a violence risk assessment as outlined in the CAT manual and will rely on a consistent, research-based, reliable system that allows the for the operationalization of the risk levels.

Some examples of formalized approaches to the VRA process include: The NaBITA Risk Rubric,²⁶ The Structured Interview for Violence Risk Assessment (SIVRA-35),²⁷ The Extremist Risk Intervention

²⁶ www.nabita.org/tools

²⁷ www.nabita.org/resources/assessment-tools/sivra-35/

Scale (ERIS),²⁸ Looking Glass,²⁹ Workplace Assessment of Violence Risk (WAVR-21),³⁰ Historical Clinical Risk Management (HCR-20),³¹ and MOSAIC.³²

The VRA is conducted independently from the Title IX process, free from outcome pressure, but is informed by it. The individual(s) conducting the assessment will be trained to mitigate any bias and provide the analysis and findings in a fair and equitable manner.

The Campus Assistance Team's member(s) conducts a VRA process and makes a recommendation to the Title IX Coordinator as to whether the VRA indicates there is a substantial, compelling, and/or immediate risk to health and/or safety of an individual or the community.

²⁸ www.nabita.org/resources/assessment-tools/eris/

²⁹ www.nabita.org/looking-glass


³⁰ www.wavr21.com

³¹ hcr-20.com

³² www.mosaicmethod.com

Appendix B

CONCISE WRITTEN NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS AND OPTIONS AFTER A TITLE IX INCIDENT

More Off-Campus Resources	Accommodations	<h2 style="text-align: center;">Your Rights and Options After a Title IX Incident</h2> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Office of Title IX and Diversity and Inclusion</i></p> 	Off-Campus Reporting Options
<p><i>Services available but not limited to</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24-hour hotline • Support groups • Programs for children • Safety planning and domestic violence counseling • Emergency shelter • Court and legal advocacy • Community education and awareness <p>Connecticut Coalition Against Domestic Violence</p> <p><i>If interested in CCADV please contact:</i></p> <p>24-hour Domestic Violence Hotline: (888)774-2900 912 Silas Deane Highway, Lower Level Wethersfield, Connecticut 06109 Main Telephone: (860)282-7899 Toll free (CT only): (800)281-1481 http://www.ctcadv.org/</p> <p>Office of Victim Services</p> <p>(OVS is part of the state court system and provides assistance to victims of crime)</p> <p><i>If interested in OVS, please contact:</i></p> <p>225 Spring Street, Fourth Floor Wethersfield, CT Telephone: 711 or 800.833.8134 http://www.jud.ct.gov/crimevictim/</p>	<p>The right to notification of options for available assistance after an alleged sexual misconduct incident (no formal report, or investigation, campus or criminal, need occur before this option is available).</p> <p>Accommodations may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change of an on-campus student's housing to a different on-campus location • Transportation accommodations • Arranging to dissolve a housing contract and pro-rating a refund • Exam, paper or assignment rescheduling • Taking an incomplete in a class • Transferring class sections • Temporary withdrawal • Alternative course completion options <p>You have the right to have the institution maintain such accommodations for as long as is necessary, and for protective measures to remain confidential, provided confidentiality does not impair the institution's ability to provide the accommodations or protective measures.</p>		<p>Police Departments & Local Hospitals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • West Hartford or Hartford Police Departments: Call 911 • Saint Francis Hospital Emergency Department: 860.714.4000 • Hartford Hospital Emergency Department: 860.545.0000 <p>Additional Resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confidential Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network Crisis Hotlines- 800.656.HOPE or online: ohrainn.org/online • Burgdorf Health Center, Hartford STD Clinic (No appointment necessary, Fee for service.) 860.757.4830 or 860.757.4772 131 Coventry Street, 1st Floor Hartford, CT 06112 <p>Off-Campus Resources:</p> <p>Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence</p> <p><i>Free & Confidential Services Available:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information and referral • Advocacy for children and non-abusing parent • Short-term counseling for complainants and their family and/or friends • Support groups • Community education and prevention programs dealing with sexual assault issues, safety concerns, etc. <p>If interested in CT Alliance please contact: The 24-hour Hotline: 888.999.5545 Español: 888.568.8332 Main Telephone: 860.282.988 196 Pitkin Street East Hartford, CT 06108 info@endsexualviolencect.org</p>

Process and Procedural Rights You have the RIGHT to:	On-Campus Resources & Reporting Options	<p>Title IX Coordinator Rayna Dylon-White Director of Diversity & Inclusion Lourdes Hall rdylonwhite@usj.edu Phone: 860.231.5487</p> <p>Deputy Title IX Coordinators Deborah Spencer Director of Human Resources Office of Human Resources, Mercy Hall dspencer@usj.edu Phone: 860.231.5390</p> <p>Confidential On-Campus Resource Health Services and the Counseling and Wellness Center 860.231.5530</p> <p>Additional Resources Campus Safety provides escorts for any student crossing campus in the evening hours. Call 860.231.5222 to request this service. **Emergency phones can be found throughout campus.**</p> <p>Anonymous Reporting • Public Safety Tip Line: 860.231.5742 • Ethics Point Phone: 855.297.5106 Website: https://secure.ethicspoint.com/domain/media/en/gui/33024/index.html</p> <p>Employee Assistance Program 800.327.1850 TDD: 800.697.0353 guidanceresources.com Your company Web ID: HLF902</p>	Confidentiality Statement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An investigation and appropriate resolution of all credible complaints of sexual misconduct, gender-based discrimination, and/or harassment made in good faith to the University • Report the incident to off-campus authorities and/or law enforcement and to be assisted by University staff in doing so, as well as the right not to report to off-campus authorities and/or law enforcement • Be treated with respect by University staff throughout the process • Be notified of available counseling and mental and physical health services on-campus and off-campus • Identify witnesses and other parties, and to request the Title IX Coordinator or designee contact those individuals as part of the investigation • Have the University request attendance for individuals called as witnesses for the investigation • Have an advisor of your choice present in a support or advisory role during the investigation • Review reports produced by the investigation that will be submitted for a final determination of a violation, subject to limitations provided by law • Know which provisions of the Student Code of Conduct the respondent student is charged with violating • Challenge information and documents prior to the conduct meeting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not have irrelevant prior sexual history admitted as evidence in a campus meeting • Provide evidence by means other than being in the same room with the responding party • Make an impact statement to the Conduct officer, should the Conduct officer find the respondent student responsible for violating this policy • Have individuals involved in the process who are free of demonstrated bias toward either party • Be informed of the outcome and sanction of conduct meeting and to receive that decision in writing • Appeal the finding and sanction of the conduct meeting, in accordance with the appeal guidelines established in this policy • Be informed in writing when a decision of the University is considered final, any changes to the sanction to occur before the decision is finalized, to be informed of the right to appeal the finding and sanction of the resolution process, and the procedures for doing so in accordance with the standards for appeal established by the University • Privacy, to the extent possible and permitted by law, and the assurance that information regarding the complaint will be shared only with those necessary • Sanctions are issued in accordance with the USJ Sexual Misconduct Policy 		<p>Reports made anonymously or by third parties may or may not initiate grievance procedures. However, Title IX requires the university to investigate all incidents about which the University knows or has reason to know to protect the health and safety of the University community, and The University will investigate issues raised anonymously or by third parties if sufficient information is provided by the reporter to allow such an investigation. Also, the University will undertake an investigation where appropriate, even in cases where the alleged victim and/or complainant chooses not to cooperate or participate. Confidential on-campus resources are available that can share options and advice without any obligation to inform other University staff members unless requested. Health Services and the Counseling and Wellness Center are the only confidential resources on the University of Saint Joseph campus. In addition, there are several off-campus resources that are also confidential. Faculty members and other University staff are not confidential resources and are required to contact the University Title IX Coordinator or the Deputy Coordinator.</p>

Appendix C



UNIVERSITY OF SAINT JOSEPH

CONNECTICUT

EMPLOYMENT POLICY 6: HARASSMENT & DISCRIMINATION

Approval Date: April 6, 2010; revised January 1, 2020
Responsible Official: Senior Human Resources Administrator 860.231.5390

Related Policies: Nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity Policy; Sexual Misconduct & Title IX Policy; Dating Policy

The University of Saint Joseph community embraces employees of all backgrounds and welcomes, affirms, and encourages their rights to full participation. The University condemns any behavior that makes an employee feel inferior, intimidated, or uncomfortable because of race, color, religious creed, age, sex, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, transgender status, marital status, national origin, ancestry, disability (including, but not limited to, intellectual disability, present or past history of mental disorder, learning disability, or physical disability), homelessness, or any other class protected by law.

The University of Saint Joseph does not tolerate threats of violence or acts of hatred of any kind and any employee who harasses or discriminates against another person, regardless of protected class status, may be subject to disciplinary action. Behavior or activities that may endanger the physical or mental well-being of others, including idle or real threats, the possession and/or use of firearms, fireworks, hazardous chemicals, and other real or potential weapons are strictly prohibited.

Bullying behaviors are viewed by the University as a form of harassment and will be handled as such. Workplace bullying is on-going behavior that deliberately harms, intimidates, offends, degrades, or humiliates an individual and creates an environment of fear. Examples of harassment and bullying include, but are not limited to, the following:

- being sworn at, shouted at, called names or subjected to other humiliating behaviors;
 - unwarranted or invalid criticism, or blame without factual justification;
 - being treated differently than the rest of one's work group in a manner that is belittling;
 - exclusion or social isolation;
 - physical violence such as pushing, shoving or throwing objects.
-

HARASSMENT & DISCRIMINATION

Personal abuse in any form violates standards of appropriate discourse and civil conduct; substantiated instances of such behavior will result in disciplinary action up to and including termination.

An employee or applicant for employment who believes they have been subject to discrimination or harassment due should follow the Discrimination and Harassment Complaint Procedures detailed in [Employment Policy 2: Nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity Policy](#).

Appendix D



UNIVERSITY OF SAINT JOSEPH

CONNECTICUT

EMPLOYMENT POLICY 7: SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

Approval Date: April 6, 2010; revised January 1, 2020
Responsible Official: Senior Human Resources Administrator 860.231.5390
Title IX Coordinator 860.231.5487

Related Policies: Nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity Policy; Harassment & Discrimination Policy; Dating Policy

POLICY:

I. Introduction

The University of Saint Joseph is committed to providing an environment free from gender-based discrimination and harassment. The University of Saint Joseph is dedicated to a healthy and safe learning and living environment in which members of the community can realize their full potential, free from all forms of gender or sex discrimination and sexual misconduct including interpersonal violence and stalking.

As such, the University complies with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in educational programs or activities that receive federal financial assistance. The University of Saint Joseph strives to ensure that no student or employee is excluded from participation in or denied the benefits of any University program or activity on the basis of sex. Also, in regards to athletics, the University is committed to the equitable treatment of male and female student-athletes. This includes, but is not limited to, equitable allocation of athletic participation opportunities, scholarships, and benefits.

Employees are prohibited from harassing students and other employees whether or not the incidents of harassment occur on University premises and whether or not the incidents occur during working hours. Employees are also prohibited from harassing non-University employees with whom they come in contact while performing their job as a University employee (e.g., employees of collaborative organizations). Furthermore, any employee who is aware of a University student subject to possible sexual misconduct is required to report their concerns to a designated Title IX Coordinator/Deputy.

Upon receiving a report, the University will respond promptly, equitably and thoroughly. In addition, the University will take steps to prevent the recurrence of the misconduct and correct its effects, if appropriate. When a respondent is found to have violated this policy, serious sanctions will be used to reasonably ensure that such actions are not repeated and steps will be taken to correct any discriminatory effects to the extent possible. There is no time limitation on the filing of a grievance under this policy. However, a delay in reporting could affect the University's ability to gather information needed to determine whether a person is responsible for sexual misconduct or gender-based discrimination. If the responding party is no longer subject to the University's jurisdiction, the ability to investigate, respond, and provide remedies may be limited.

This policy is intended to define community expectations and to establish a mechanism for determining when those expectations have been violated. Any University of Saint Joseph community member who has questions about the policy or the grievance procedures should seek clarification from the University's Title IX Office.

The University's sex/gender harassment, discrimination and misconduct policies are not meant to inhibit or prohibit educational content or discussions inside or outside of the classroom that include controversial or sensitive subject matters protected by academic freedom. Academic freedom extends to topics that are pedagogically appropriate and germane to the subject matter of courses or that touch on academic exploration of matters of public concern.

Terminology:

For purposes of this policy, the following terminology will be used:

Students: Any student of the University of Saint Joseph, including undergraduate and graduate students, students at The Gengras Center School and The School for Young Children, and students attending University-sponsored camps or similar programs.

Employees: Any individual receiving a paycheck from the University, regardless of employment classification.

Affiliates: Individuals or organizations who may interact with USJ Community Members in a University-sanctioned role, including but not limited to volunteers, interns, and vendors/contractors.

Community Members: All students, employees (faculty and staff), and volunteers of the University, including The Gengras Center School and The School for Young Children.

Title IX Office: The Title IX Coordinator and Title IX Deputy Coordinators.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

II. Notice of the Title IX Coordinator

Rayna Dyton-White, Director of Diversity & Inclusion, serves as the University Title IX Coordinator and manages the University's compliance of Title IX. Deborah Spencer, Director of Human Resources, serves as a Title IX Deputy Coordinator. The Title IX Office is the resource available to anyone seeking additional information or wishing to file a complaint. When a USJ Community Member, affiliate, or other participant in the University's programs and activities feels that they have been subjected to discrimination based on sex in any University program or activity, including but not limited to being subjected to sexual harassment and sexual assault, they may contact the Title IX Office. USJ Community Members may utilize the Title IX grievance procedures to bring concerns forward for the purpose of obtaining a prompt and equitable resolution.

The Title IX policy is intended to define University standards, scope, and to outline the investigation and grievance processes when those standards are violated.

The University Title IX Coordinator is:
Rayna Dyton-White, Director of Diversity & Inclusion
Lourdes Hall
rdytonwhite@usj.edu
860.231.5487

The University Title IX Deputy Coordinators are:
Deborah Spencer, Director of Human Resources
Mercy Hall
dspencer@usj.edu
860.231.5390

III. Statement Regarding Complaint and Grievance Procedures

The procedures in this policy are designed to provide a supportive process for individuals who report discrimination and to ensure a fair process for USJ Community Members who are accused of sexual misconduct or discriminatory behavior. Any University of Saint Joseph Community Member who believes they have been subjected to discrimination based upon sex or gender identity in any University program or activity, that the University has failed to meet its Title IX obligations regarding equity in athletics, or that they have been subjected to sexual misconduct, may bring such concerns to the attention of the University's Title IX Office to obtain a prompt and equitable resolution.

Generally speaking, the Human Resources department will have primary responsibility for complaints involving University employees. Human Resources will coordinate efforts with the Title IX Office as appropriate. Employees who are not satisfied with the response of the Human Resources department to a complaint regarding any form of

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

sex discrimination or sexual misconduct should report their concerns to the Title IX Office.

The U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights (OCR) is the federal agency charged with enforcing compliance with Title IX. Anyone has the right to contact them directly.

Information regarding OCR can be found at:

Local OCR: Boston Office
U.S. Department of Education,
8th Floor, 5 Post Office Square,
Boston, MA 02109-3921
Telephone: 617.289.0111, Facsimile: 617.289.0150
[Email: OCR.Boston@ed.gov](mailto:OCR.Boston@ed.gov)

Office for Civil Rights, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202-1100
Customer Service Hotline: 800-421-3481 • Facsimile: 202-453-6012 • TDD: 877-521-2172 Email: ocr@ed.gov • Website: ed.gov/ocr

IV. Reporting and Confidentiality

A. Responsible Employees and Reporting

The University of Saint Joseph encourages all employees and volunteers to promptly report any incidents of sex discrimination, sexual misconduct, interpersonal violence, or stalking, they may witness or become aware of. Employees who are subject to, or are aware of other employees who may be subject to, sex discrimination, sexual misconduct, or sexual harassment are encouraged to report the matter to the senior Human Resources administrator, the employee's direct supervisor, or any member of University administration. Employees may also report concerns to the Title IX Coordinator.

Any supervisor or member of management who becomes aware of possible sexual or other unlawful harassment affecting a University employee is required to promptly advise the senior Human Resources administrator. If the senior Human Resource administrator is not deemed appropriate to handle the presenting issue, the report should be made to the Title IX Office and/or the Vice President for Finance & Administration. If the VP for Finance & Administration is not deemed appropriate to handle the presenting issue, the report should be made to the President who will refer the matter to the appropriate person/s to handle the issue.

Responsible Employees/Volunteers are **required** to report such incidents to the Title IX Office when any University of Saint Joseph student is affected. The University of Saint

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

Joseph defines all employees and volunteers as Responsible Employees of the University; the sole exception to this statement is staff who are designated as Confidential Employees. **A Responsible Employee/Volunteer is required to report any incidents of sexual violence, harassment, discrimination, interpersonal violence, and stalking involving a student promptly to the University Title IX Office.** Prompt reporting of such incidents makes investigation of the incident more effective and enhances the ability of the University to take action on a complaint.

Responsible Employees/Volunteers are responsible for:

- Communicating to students who are considering disclosing or have disclosed information about alleged sexual misconduct that, as Responsible Employees, they are required to report such information to the University's Title IX Coordinator/Deputy;
- Reporting information they receive about alleged sexual misconduct promptly to the University's Title IX Coordinator/Deputy;
- Completing all applicable University training on reporting requirements and staying current on training requirements; and
- Contacting the University's Title IX Office with questions or concerns.

A Responsible Employee/Volunteer may not honor a reporting student's request for confidentiality. The Responsible Employee/Volunteer *must* report all information disclosed to them regarding the alleged misconduct; however, they should inform the student that they will make the student's request for confidentiality known to the University.

The University considers staff in Health Services and the Counseling and Wellness Center to be Confidential Employees; as such, these employees will not report Title IX related incidents to the Title IX Office. Confidential employees will submit anonymous statistical information to the appropriate University office for Clery Act purposes, unless they believe it would cause undue harm to a specific client.

While respecting the complainant, no employee/volunteer (other than Health Services and Counseling and Wellness Center staff) can or should promise complete confidentiality. An employee who is the parent or legal guardian of a University of Saint Joseph student is permitted to maintain the confidentiality of their own child's experience of sexual misconduct.

B. Options for Reporting

Internal – Not Anonymous

- a. Report directly to Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office (contact information above);
- b. Report online, using the [Tell Someone](https://my.usj.edu/ics/Tell_Somebody_Report/) report https://my.usj.edu/ics/Tell_Somebody_Report/

*Internal – Anonymous**

- a. Report using the Public Safety Anonymous Tipline 860.231.5742.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

- b. Report using EthicsPoint - 855.297.5106 or www.ethicspoint.com.

* Responsible Employees may not use anonymous means to report sexual misconduct affecting any University student. Such reports must be made directly to the Title IX Office.

External Law Enforcement

To contact a local police department, contact Public Safety for assistance (24 hours a day/7 days a week) at 860.231.5222 or call:

- a. West Hartford Police Department – 860.523.5203
- b. Hartford Police Department – 860.757.4000
- c. Bloomfield Police Department — 860.242.5501
- d. Connecticut State Police, Troop H (Hartford) - 800.968.0664 or 860.534.1000

Complaints of Sexual Misconduct against a Faculty Member, Staff Member or Administrator

Within the University, reports of gender-based misconduct by an employee or affiliate should be filed with the Human Resources department. Reports regarding employees or affiliates can also be made via the EthicsPoint reporting option 855.297.5106 or www.ethicspoint.com. If the alleged sexual misconduct affects a University of Saint Joseph student, Responsible Employees must also report the information to the Title IX Coordinator, and such report may not be anonymous.

C. Confidentiality

Reports made anonymously or by third parties may or may not initiate grievance procedures. However, Title IX requires the University to investigate all incidents about which the University knows or has reason to know to protect the health and safety of the University community. The University will address issues raised anonymously or by third parties if sufficient information is provided by the reporter, and may initiate an investigation. Also, the University will undertake an investigation where appropriate even in cases where the alleged victim and/or complainant choose not to cooperate or participate.

When weighing a complainant's request for confidentiality, to end an investigation, and/or to not seek disciplinary action, the University will consider factors that may include circumstances that suggest: violence, threat, pattern, predation and/or weapon; the age of the student subjected to the sexual misconduct; and whether the University possesses other means to obtain relevant evidence.

Whether the incident occurred on or off campus, community members are encouraged to report sexual assault and other incidents of harassment to local police. The University of Saint Joseph Public Safety can assist community members who wish to make a report to police. Electing not to report an incident to the police will not affect the University's investigation or Title IX grievance process. If a complainant is a minor, according to

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

Connecticut state law, the University will make a report to the appropriate law enforcement agency.

If a community member decides not to file a complaint with the University, the University encourages the community member to seek out the available medical and mental health resources listed in this policy and on our website. Community members who wish to make a complaint at a later date may contact the Human Resources department and/or the Title IX Office at any time.

D. Confidential Resources

Confidential on-campus resources are available to students; these resources can share options and advice without any obligation to inform other University staff members unless requested. Health Services and the Counseling and Wellness Center are the only confidential resources on the University of Saint Joseph campus. In addition, there are several confidential off-campus resources. Faculty members and other University staff are not confidential resources and are required to contact the University Title IX Office.

All of the below-listed resources will maintain confidentiality except in extreme cases of immediacy of threat or danger or abuse of a minor.

University of Saint Joseph Confidential Resources

- Health Services and the Counseling and Wellness Center - 860.231.5530 (available only to students of the University)

Off-Campus Confidential Resources

- Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence 24-hour confidential hotline - 1.888.999.5545 and (Español) - 1.888.568.8332
- Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network crisis hotline — 1-800-656-HOPE
- Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network online hotline — ohl.rainn.org/online
- Connecticut Coalition Against Domestic Violence – 24-hour confidential hotline 1.888.774.2900

E. Jurisdiction

This policy shall apply to conduct that occurs on University-owned or leased property, at University-sponsored events, as well as University-sponsored online interactions. Employees and volunteers are responsible for their conduct while engaging in activity for the benefit of, or at the direction of, the University. The University maintains the authority to adjudicate alleged violations of the Employee Handbook that are related to the same incident under review, though may not be directly related to gender-based conduct

IV. General Policy & Definitions

Members of the University community, including guests, visitors, and volunteers have a right to be free from sexual harassment, violence, and gender-based harassment. When an

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

allegation of misconduct is investigated, and a responding employee is found to have violated this policy, corrective action may be used in an effort to ensure that such actions are not repeated.

Any attempts to violate this policy are considered equivalent to having committed the violation itself. The use of alcohol or other drugs will not be accepted as a defense or mitigating factor to a violation of this policy. These policies apply regardless of the complainant's or respondent's sexual orientation, sex, gender identity, age, race, nationality, religion or ability. Harassment or discrimination based upon an individual's sexual orientation or gender identity is considered gender-based and subject to this policy. Reasonable accommodations will be provided, as needed, to permit employees and students with disabilities to utilize the procedures set forth in this policy.

Any employee who believes they have been subject to sexual assault, sexual harassment, or other sexual misconduct, or who has witnessed or learned of such an incident, is encouraged to contact the Human Resources department and/or the University Title IX Office directly. The Title IX Office ensures that timely reports are taken and responded to with appropriate action which may include investigation, possible interim measures, resolution, and that those involved have access to other resources including but not limited to medical, mental health, and law enforcement.

All reports against USJ community members, affiliates, and individuals not affiliated with University of Saint Joseph are handled by the Title IX Office:

In situations in which a complaint is filed against a community member who embodies more than one status at the University (e.g., community member is both a student and an employee), the University Title IX Office has the authority to appoint one or more investigators (possibly from different areas of the institution) and determine the grievance process for the reported incident (student, employee, volunteer). The selected grievance process shall have the jurisdiction to make final determinations affecting all individual *statuses at the University*.

A. Definitions and Scope of Sexual Misconduct

The University of Saint Joseph prohibits any form of sexual misconduct, including but not limited to acts of sexual harassment, nonconsensual sexual contact or intercourse, and other forms of sexual exploitation.

Sexual Misconduct is a broad term covering a range of behaviors including sexual assault, sexual harassment, intimate partner violence, stalking, voyeurism, sexual exploitation, and any other conduct of a sexual nature that is nonconsensual, or has the purpose or effect of threatening, intimidating or coercing a person. Sexual misconduct may involve nonconsensual sexual contact, but it is not a necessary component. All individuals are protected from sexual misconduct and sexual misconduct is prohibited regardless of the gender of the harasser.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

Sexual harassment is defined as unwelcome gender-based verbal or physical conduct that is:

- sufficiently severe, persistent or pervasive that it has the effect of unreasonably interfering with, limiting or depriving someone of the ability to participate in or benefit from the University's educational program, activities and/or employment, and is;
- based on power (quid pro quo), the creation of a hostile environment, or retaliation;
- a failure to provide sexual harassment training or post notices as required.

Examples of sexual harassment include, but are not limited to:

- subtle or persistent pressure for sexual activity;
- unnecessary touching, pinching or brushing against a person;
- requesting or demanding sexual favors concerning employment, academic activities, or other University activities;
- unwelcome communications (verbal, written, electronic, etc.) of a sexual nature;
- unwelcome conduct based on a person's perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, or gender based stereotypes
- failure to accept the termination of a consensual relationship with repeated and persistent requests and behavior.

Nonconsensual sexual contact (sexual assault) includes any intentional touching of a sexual nature, however slight, whether clothed or unclothed, with any object or body part by a person against another person that is without consent and/or by force.

Examples of nonconsensual sexual contact include, but are not limited to:

- intentional contact or touching with the breasts, buttocks, groin, or genitals;
- making another person touch someone or themselves in a sexual manner;
- any intentional bodily contact in a sexual manner.

Nonconsensual sexual intercourse (rape) includes any penetration, however slight, with any object or body part by a person against other person that is without consent and/or by force.

Examples of nonconsensual sexual intercourse include, but are not limited to:

- vaginal penetration by a penis, object, tongue or finger;
- anal penetration by a penis, object, tongue or finger;
- oral copulation (mouth to genital contact or genital to mouth contact).

Sexual exploitation includes but is not limited to:

- invasion of sexual privacy or voyeurism (in-person or through audio or video recording);
- distribution or sharing of images or recordings of sexual acts without the consent of those portrayed;
- knowingly transmitting a sexually transmitted infection;

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

- exposing of a person's body or genitals;
- prostituting or soliciting another community member.

Consent is an active, knowing, and voluntary exchange of affirmative words and/or actions, which indicate a willingness to participate in a particular sexual activity. Consent must be freely and actively given. It is the responsibility of the initiator to obtain clear and affirmative responses at each stage of sexual involvement. The lack of a negative response is not consent. A person who is incapacitated by alcohol and/or drugs, whether voluntarily or involuntarily consumed, may not give consent. Consent to one form of sexual activity, or a past relationship, does not imply consent to future sexual activity.

Incapacitation is a state where someone cannot make rational, reasonable decisions due to a lack of capacity to give knowing consent (e.g., to understand the "who, what, when, where, why, and how" of the sexual interaction).

- Sexual activity with someone who is, or based on circumstances should reasonably have been known to be, mentally or physically incapacitated (i.e., by alcohol or other drug use, unconsciousness or blackout) constitutes a violation of this policy.
- A person whose incapacity results from mental disability, sleep, involuntary physical restraint, or from the consumption (voluntary or otherwise) of incapacitating drugs cannot give consent.
- In order to give consent, a person must be of the legal age of consent. Under most circumstances, the age of consent in the state of Connecticut is sixteen. See Connecticut General Statutes § 46b-120, § 46b-127, § 46b-133d, § 53a-70, § 53a-71, and § 54-76b.
- Alcohol-related incapacity results from a level of alcohol ingestion that is more severe than impairment, being under the influence, drunkenness, or intoxication.

Evidence of incapacity may be detected by physical cues, e.g., slurred speech, bloodshot eyes, the odor of alcohol on a person's breath or clothing, inability to maintain balance, vomiting, unusual or irrational behavior, and unconsciousness. Context is important in helping to determine incapacitation. Any of these particular cues alone do not necessarily indicate incapacity.

Force is the use of physical violence and/or imposing on someone physically to gain sexual access. Force also includes threats, intimidation, and coercion that overcomes resistance or produces consent.

Coercion is unreasonable pressure for sexual activity. Coercion is the use of emotional manipulation to persuade someone to do something they may not want to do, such as being sexual or performing certain sexual acts. Being coerced into having sex or performing sexual acts does not constitute consent and is considered sexual misconduct.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

Intimate Partner Violence

Relationship violence is a pattern of behavior in an intimate relationship that is used to establish power and control over another person through fear and intimidation. A pattern of behavior is typically determined based on the repeated use of words and/or actions and inactions in order to demean, intimidate, isolate, and/or control another person. This behavior can be verbal, emotional, and/or physical. Examples include, but are not limited to: striking another person (slapping, punching, etc.), property damage, reckless behavior, name calling and/or insults, public humiliation, harassment directed toward friends and acquaintances, and verbal and/or physical threats.

Stalking involves any behaviors or activities occurring on more than one occasion that collectively instill fear and/or threaten a person's safety, mental health, and/or physical health. Such behaviors or activities may include, but are not limited to nonconsensual communications (i.e., face-to-face, telephone, email, social media), threatening or obscene gestures, surveillance, or showing up outside the targeted individual's classroom, residence, or workplace.

Other Forms of Misconduct

Other forms of misconduct, when gender-based, will fall under this policy. These include, but are not limited to:

- conduct that interferes with the rights of others and/or demonstrates disregard for the University community;
- assisting another person in committing a violation of this policy;
- personal harassment and/or verbal abuse;
- the threat of physical harm, physical abuse, mental distress or injury;
- actions that inflict physical harm, physical abuse, mental distress or injury;
- slanderous, false or malicious statement(s) about a person or defamation of character;
- endangerment of the health and safety of others;
- hazing;
- damage and/or vandalism to another's property.

B. When an Incident Occurs

Bystander Intervention is defined by the State of Connecticut (CGA § 10a-55m) as the act of challenging the social norms that support, condone, or permit sexual assault, stalking, and intimate partner violence. Students are encouraged to undertake bystander intervention actions when they are able to do so in a manner that is safe for all concerned. Examples of bystander intervention include: asking if someone is alright; distracting one or both people involved; encouraging someone to seek help from appropriate resources, such as Health Services or the Counseling and Wellness Center. Bystander intervention techniques can be used to address and prevent many concerns, including sexual assault, intimate partner violence, alcohol poisoning, hazing, suicide, and bias.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

Care after an Assault

While there is no one right way to get help, below are some suggested steps to take following an experience of sexual assault, intimate partner violence, or stalking.

1. Immediate Steps
 - a. Get away from the attacker. Go to a safe place.
 - b. **Call someone;** a friend, family member or victim advocate are all good resources.
 - c. Seek medical attention. For an emergency requiring an immediate medical or police response, call 911. On-campus, call Public Safety 860.231.5222; off-campus, call 911 or go to the nearest emergency room. Seek treatment from a hospital that participates in the SAFE program and has a SANE (Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner). A medical provider can check and treat ~~you~~ for physical injury, sexually transmitted infections, and pregnancy.

Local Hospitals

Saint Francis Hospital
114 Woodland Street, Hartford, CT 06105
860.714.4000

Hartford Hospital
80 Seymour Street, Hartford, CT 06012
860.545.5000

- d. Preserve Evidence. After sexual violence, individuals should not shower or bathe until they have considered whether to have a no-cost sexual assault forensic exam at a hospital. Individuals who want a sexual assault forensic exam should go to a hospital within 120 hours of the assault. A sexual assault forensic exam can be obtained even if no formal report is filed and/or the complainant does not press charges. Clothing worn during the assault should be saved in a paper or cloth bag and brought to the exam. After sexual violence, relationship violence, and/or stalking, take photos of any damage or injury and keep communication records (i.e. texts, emails, and letters).
2. Next Steps
 - a. Students may seek treatment from Health Services by calling 860.231.5530 (Monday–Friday 8:30am-4:30pm); however, this office does not complete the sexual assault evidence collection kit.
 - b. Seek Support. Students can meet with an on-campus counselor at the Counseling and Wellness Center by calling 860.231.5530 (Monday–Friday 8:30am-4:30pm) or an off-campus [confidential advocate](#) for support and information.
 - c. Consider making a report. USJ community members affected by sexual violence are encouraged to make a formal report of what happened to both the Title IX Office and the local police department (West Hartford Police Department –

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

860.523.5203). There is a difference between reporting to the on-campus Title IX Office and filing criminal charges with the police department. Each report can be done independently.

- d. Additional support. The Title IX Office can help students with changes to housing, classes, work, student activities, and more. This support is available even if the complainant does not make a formal report or press charges.

3. Other Resources

Connecticut Alliance to End Sexual Violence

24-Hour Hot Line 1.888.999.5545

Telephone: 860.282.9881

96 Pitkin Street

East Hartford, CT 06108

info@endsexualviolencect.org

YWCA New Britain Sexual Assault Crisis Service

Hotline – Hartford: 860.547.1022

Hotline – New Britain: 860.223.1787

19 Franklin Square

New Britain, CT 06051

ywcanb.org

All services are FREE and CONFIDENTIAL and services provided include:

- Hotline Services 24-hours/day, 7 days/week
- 24-hour crisis counseling
- Information & referral
- Advocacy for children and non-abusing parent
- Short-term counseling for complainants and their family and/or friends
- Support groups and more
- Community education programs dealing with sexual assault issues
- Community prevention programs dealing with safety concerns, etc.

Interval House

24-hour Domestic Violence Hotline 860.527.5550 or 1.888.774.2900

Linea de Crisis (Spanish) 1.844.831.9200

Telephone: 860.246.9149

Hartford, CT

www.intervalhousect.org

Services that are provided include:

- 24-hour Hotline
- Safety planning and domestic violence counseling
- Emergency shelter

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

- Support groups
- Court and legal advocacy
- Programs for children
- Community education and awareness

Connecticut Coalition Against Domestic Violence

24-hour Domestic Violence Hotline 888.774.2900
912 Silas Deane Highway, Lower Level
Wethersfield, Connecticut 06109
Telephone: 860.282.7899 | Toll free (CT only): 1.800.281.1481
<http://www.ctcadv.org/>.

For counseling services, support groups, emergency shelter, and general support services, please call our 24-hour statewide, toll-free domestic violence hotline at 888.774.2900 to be connected to the nearest domestic violence agency. An advocate can talk with you about your needs and help you identify shelters, programs and other resources. All services are free and confidential.

Office of Victim Services

225 Spring Street, Fourth Floor
Wethersfield, Connecticut
Telephone: 711 or 1.800.833.8134
<http://www.jud.ct.gov/crimevictim/>

The Office of Victim Services (OVS), Connecticut Judicial Branch, is the state's lead agency established to provide services to victims of violent crime. OVS contracts with non-profit and public organizations to provide services to crime victims. These services include, but are not limited to, information and referral, criminal justice support/advocacy, therapy, safety planning, group treatment/support, personal advocacy and assistance in filing applications for victim compensation.

Office of Victim Advocate

505 Hudson Street, 5th floor
Hartford, Connecticut 06106
Telephone: 860.550.6632 or Toll Free (CT) 1.888.771.3126
Email at ova.info@ct.gov or <http://www.ct.gov/ova/site/default.asp>

The Office of the Victim Advocate (OVA) is an independent state agency charged with the responsibility of protecting and enforcing the rights of crime victims throughout the state of Connecticut. The OVA monitor's services provided to crime victims by state agencies and private entities; receives and investigates victim's complaints regarding their treatment in the criminal justice process. They can intervene in court cases to advocate for a crime victim when their rights have been violated. They make recommendations to the legislature, criminal justice

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

professionals, and victim service providers for changes in state policies and laws to benefit crime victims. They also provide public education and outreach regarding services available to victims of crime and their families.

Resources for preventable sexually transmitted diseases

Burgdorf Health Center, Hartford STD Clinic

Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

131 Coventry Street, 1st Floor

Hartford, Connecticut

860.757.4830 or 860.757.4772

No appointment necessary. Fee for service.

Additional Services

- Campus Safety provides escorts for any student or employee crossing campus in the evening hours. Call 860.231.5222 to request this service.
- Emergency phones (blue light towers) can be found throughout campus.

C. Protective Orders

Employees should bring any protective orders to the Department of Public Safety. The University will assist in making any necessary accommodations.

D. Retaliation

Retaliation against any person for alleging a violation of Title IX or for cooperating in any investigation, proceeding, or meeting relating to an alleged violation of Title IX is strictly prohibited and may result in disciplinary action, including additional interim or permanent measures. Any concerns regarding retaliation should be reported immediately to the University Title IX Office.

E. Federal Timely Warning

Parties reporting sexual misconduct should be aware that under the Clery Act, University administrators must issue timely warnings for incidents reported to them that pose a substantial threat of bodily harm or danger to members of the University community. The University will take all reasonable measures to ensure that a complainant's name and other identifying information is not disclosed, while still providing enough information for community members to make safety decisions in light of the potential danger.

F. Amnesty for Reporting Parties & Witnesses

Members of the University community may be reluctant to report incidents because of concerns that their own behavior may be a violation of University policies. Although policy violations cannot be overlooked, the University will consider the positive impact of reporting an incident when determining the appropriate response for policy violations. Community members are encouraged to consider the possible negative consequences of not reporting an incident when considering any possible personal consequences of making a report.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

G. False Allegations

Deliberately false and/or malicious accusations under this policy, as opposed to allegations which, even if erroneous, are made in good faith, are a serious offense and will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action, and may also violate state criminal statutes and civil defamation laws.

H. Federal Statistical Reporting Obligations

The University has a duty to report data about various forms of sexual misconduct in accordance with the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act (Clery Act). No personally identifiable information is disclosed, but statistical information is disclosed as part of the University's annual Campus Security Policy & Campus Crime Statistics Report. The information to be shared includes the date, location (residence hall, public property, off campus, etc.) and specific crime category. A copy of the report is located on the Public Safety website (<https://www.usj.edu/student-life/public-safety/>).

V. Response and Resolution Process

A. Privacy and Confidentiality

Reports will be investigated and may be resolved through the appropriate conduct/grievance procedures. Investigation and procedures will be conducted with regard for the privacy of those involved. Only people who have a need to know about the reported issue will be informed. Materials and information prepared or acquired under Title IX procedures will be shared only as necessary. Disclosure of such information also may be made if the University Title IX Office determines that such disclosure is necessary to protect the health, safety, or well-being of the community or any member thereof. While the University Title IX Office will take into account any requests made by a complainant for confidentiality or that a Title IX matter not be investigated, the University Title IX Office will take appropriate steps to respond to the matter, consistent with requirements of Title IX and the University's obligation to the greater University of Saint Joseph community.

B. Informal Complaints and Resolution

Employees may bring concerns to the University Human Resources department and/or Title IX Office on an informal basis. Where appropriate, Human Resources will provide counseling and advice and may attempt to facilitate an informal resolution. The University Title IX Office is also available to receive and address such allegations through the formal grievance procedures described below.

Complainants who are considering bringing a formal grievance may meet with Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office, who will discuss the matter and describe the formal grievance process. Where appropriate and with prior notice where applicable, these grievance procedures may be modified or amended by the University.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

The Human Resources department and Title IX Office have the authority to investigate allegations of discrimination prohibited by Title IX even absent the filing of a formal grievance, or after its subsequent withdrawal. The University has an obligation to the entire University of Saint Joseph community to take appropriate steps to prevent community members from being subjected to discrimination and sexual misconduct. As a result, there may be circumstances that will require the Human Resources department and/or Title IX Office to proceed with investigating a formal or informal grievance even if a complainant specifically requests that the matter not be pursued.

In instances where it is deemed possible and safe, the University may choose to resolve reports through informal means. If it is determined that an informal resolution may be appropriate, Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office will speak with the complainant about this option. If the complainant agrees, Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office will speak with the person alleged to have engaged in the misconduct. Resolution of an informal complaint will generally not result in corrective actions; Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office may enact remedial measures at their discretion. If a satisfactory resolution is reached through this informal process, the matter will be considered completed. If these efforts are unsuccessful, the formal investigation process will commence. The informal resolution process will not be used in cases of nonconsensual sexual intercourse.

C. Formal Complaints and Resolution

1. Formal Reporting and Response

Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office will formally investigate employee and student complaints, address inquiries, and coordinate the University's compliance efforts regarding employee and student complaints and grievances. Notice of a formal complaint can be made in writing or verbally to an appropriate University employee. Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office also reserves the right to investigate any incident that may relate to this policy, and, if necessary, refer that incident to the Title IX grievance process.

The complaint should clearly describe the alleged incident, when and where it occurred, and the desired remedy, if known. Additionally, the initiator of a formal complaint should submit any supporting materials, such as text messages, voicemails, photos, and so forth.

Following receipt of a formal report, the University will take steps to protect the privacy of involved parties. Information will be shared as necessary with investigators, witnesses, the responding party, and University employees/officials. The circle of people with this knowledge will be kept as tight as possible to preserve the rights and privacy of involved parties.

Following receipt of a formal report, the University of Saint Joseph will initiate the investigation as soon as practicable. Should this process last longer than 60 days, Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office will communicate the reasons

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

and expected timeline to all parties. Reasons for extending beyond 60 days could include, but are not limited to: multi-party investigations, the availability of witnesses, disability accommodations approved by the Office of Student Accessibility, and periods of University closure and breaks.

The University's resolution will not typically be altered or precluded on the grounds that civil or criminal charges involving the same incident have been filed or that charges have been dismissed or reduced. However, the University may adjust its investigation or resolution process to comply with a law enforcement request for cooperation (e.g.: to allow for criminal evidence collection)

2. Interim Remedial Action

After reviewing the complaint, Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office may enact interim remedial actions to stop the alleged harassment or discrimination, and/or to protect the safety and well-being of the individuals and University community. Interim remedial action is preliminary, and only in effect until the process is complete and a decision is rendered. These actions may be instituted or removed at any point during the investigation process, at the discretion of Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office.

3. Preliminary Review

After reviewing the complaint, Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office will:

- determine the identity and contact information of the complainant;
- identify what policies, if any, were allegedly violated;
- contact the complainant to discuss the complaint if necessary;
- conduct an immediate review to determine if there is cause to proceed with further investigation.

If there is insufficient evidence to support a reasonable cause for the complaint, or if the behavior described in the complaint does not violate this policy, the grievance will be closed with no further action.

This process will be undertaken for every report of suspected sexual misconduct of a University student or minor by a University community member or affiliate, whether the alleged misconduct occurred on- or off-campus.

4. Investigation

If Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office determines that there is reasonable cause to pursue the complaint, a formal investigation will be initiated. Steps in the formal investigative process typically include:

- a. Identify qualified investigator/s to conduct the investigation. Human Resources staff and/or the Title IX Coordinator/Deputy may serve as an investigator.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

- b. Commence a thorough and impartial investigation, generally to include interviews with all relevant parties and witnesses, obtaining available evidence, and identifying sources of expert information, if necessary.
- c. Give the respondent proper notice of the investigation and provide an opportunity for the respondent to provide information.
- d. Maintain communication with the complainant and the respondent on the status of the investigation and overall process.

At the conclusion of the investigation, the investigator will meet with the complainant and the respondent separately to present the findings. If supported by the investigation findings, the investigator will present the respondent with a notice of alleged violations. Parties will not be provided with written copies of the investigative report, and are prohibited from making such copies, but will have the opportunity to read the investigative report and provide a written response.

5. Advisors

All parties are entitled to an advisor of their choosing to guide and accompany them throughout the campus resolution process. Advisors serve as a moral and emotional support for students during investigations and conduct meetings, and can assist with meeting preparation. The advisor may be any supporter a party chooses to advise them, with exception of a University of Saint Joseph employee who is related to the participant, or any party who may serve as a witness or is otherwise directly involved in the current case. A party may elect to change advisors during the process, and is not locked into using the same advisor throughout, but is only allowed to have one advisor at any interview or meeting. The University may move forward with its investigation without regard to the availability of advisors.

The parties are entitled to be accompanied by their advisor in all meetings and interviews at which the party is entitled to be present, including intake, interviews, meetings, and appeals. Advisors may help their advisees prepare for each meeting, and are expected to advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith. The University cannot guarantee equal advisory rights, meaning that if one party selects an advisor who is an attorney, but the other party does not, or cannot afford an attorney, the University is not obligated to provide one.

All advisors must adhere to University policies. Advisors may not speak on behalf of their advisee in a meeting or interview and should request or wait for a break in the proceeding to confer with their advisee or interact with University employees. Furthermore, University employees are under no obligation to respond to inquiries or requests from advisors.

Advisors are expected to refrain from interference with the University investigation and resolution. Any advisor who steps out of their role in any meeting under the University resolution process will be warned once and only once. If the advisor continues to disrupt or otherwise fails to respect the limits of the advisor role, the

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

advisor will be asked to leave the meeting. When an advisor is removed from a meeting, that meeting will typically continue without the advisor present. Subsequently, the appropriate University employee will determine whether the advisor may be reinstated or may be replaced by a different advisor. The University is under no obligation to provide an advisor in replacement of one who is removed.

6. Resolution

Following completion of an investigation, Human Resources, in consultation with University management and the Title IX Office, will determine whether corrective action is appropriate, and if so, what measures will be taken. Corrective actions will be consistent with section VI.C. *Corrective Action & Progressive Discipline*.

D. Resignation While Charges Pending

Should an employee resign prior to the conclusion of the investigation or resolution process, Human Resources, in consultation with University management and the Title IX Office, will determine how to proceed. An employee who voluntarily resigns prior to resolution of a complaint of discrimination or sexual misconduct may be prohibited from future employment with the University of Saint Joseph.

E. Appeals

After receiving notification of the University's decision, both the complainant and the respondent have five business days to notify the Title IX Office of their intent to appeal the decision. An appeal form may be obtained from the Title IX Office and a formal letter of appeal specifying the grounds upon which the appeal is based and supporting information must be submitted within five business days of the receipt of the appeal form. The Title IX Office has the discretion to extend the deadline for submission of a letter of appeal.

Corrective action(s) imposed by the University will remain in effect while the appeal is pending. The letter of appeal specifies the grounds upon which the appeal is based, and how those grounds materially affected the outcome (responsibility or corrective actions) of the original investigation.

The letter of appeal must be completed and signed by the employee or submitted directly from the employee's University email account.

Once the appeal materials are submitted, the other party and the investigator(s) may submit materials in response to the appeal. Other parties will be assigned an appropriate deadline for submission of materials by the Title IX Office or designee.

The accepted grounds for an appeal, by an employee or student, are:

- a. additional and/or new relevant information which was not available at the time of the conduct meeting or investigation;

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

- b. an error in the process or an abridgement of rights, as outlined by this policy, which materially impacted the outcome of the conduct meeting or investigation;
- c. the corrective action(s) assigned by the committee did not adhere to the corrective action guidelines stated in this policy.

The University Title IX Office reviews requests for appeals or designates a University staff member to serve as the appeal officer. If the appeal letter(s) does not bring forward sufficient grounds for appeal, the appeal will be denied and the matter will be closed.

If the Title IX Office determines that the appeal should be considered, the Title IX Office, in consultation with University management and Human Resources, will determine how to proceed. The Title IX Office may:

- a. affirm the original determination and/or corrective action, in which case the initial decision is final;
- b. work with University management and Human Resources to adjust the corrective action;
- c. request that the matter be further investigated and that the original findings be reconsidered.

F. Records

In implementing this policy, records of all allegations, investigations, resolutions, and meetings will be kept by the Human Resources department and/or Title IX Office in accordance with legal requirements..

VI. Rights of Parties

Rights of Complainants and Respondents in Sexual Misconduct Incidents

Parties to a claim shall have fair and reasonable rights throughout the Title IX sexual misconduct complaint process. This shall include, but is not limited to:

- The right to an investigation and appropriate resolution of all credible complaints of sexual misconduct and/or harassment made in good faith to the University;
- The right to be treated with respect by University employees throughout the process;
- The right to be notified of available counseling, mental and physical health services on campus and off campus;
- The right to notification of and options for, and available assistance in, changing academic and living situations after an alleged sexual misconduct incident, if so requested by the either party and if such changes are reasonably available (no formal report, or investigation, campus or criminal, need occur before this option is available). Accommodations for students may include:

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

- Change of an on-campus student's housing to a different on-campus location;
 - Assistance from University support staff in completing the relocation;
 - Transportation accommodations;
 - Arranging to dissolve a housing contract and pro-rating a refund;
 - Exam (paper, assignment) rescheduling;
 - Taking an incomplete in a class;
 - Transferring class sections;
 - Temporary withdrawal;
 - Alternative course completion options.
-
- The right to have the institution maintain such accommodations for as long as deemed necessary by the Title IX Office, and for protective measures to remain confidential, provided confidentiality does not impair the institution's ability to provide the accommodations or protective measures.
 - The right to identify witnesses and other parties, and to request the Title IX Office contact those individuals as part of the investigation;
 - The right to have the University request attendance for individuals called as witnesses for the investigation;
 - The right to have an advisor of one's choice present in a support or advisory role during the investigation;
 - The right to report the incident to off-campus authorities and/or law enforcement and to be assisted by University staff in doing so, as well as the right not to report to off-campus authorities and/or law enforcement;
 - The right to review reports produced by the investigation that will be submitted for a final determination of a violation, subject to limitations provided by law;
 - The right to know which provisions of this policy and/or the Employee Code of Conduct the respondent is charged with violating;
 - The right to challenge information and documents prior to the final determination;
 - The right not to have irrelevant prior sexual history admitted as evidence;
 - The right to provide evidence by means other than being in the same room with the responding party;
 - The right to make an impact statement to Human Resources and/or the Title IX Office;
 - The right to have individuals involved in the process who are free of demonstrated bias toward either party;
 - The right to be informed of the outcome and sanction of an investigation and to receive that decision in writing;
 - The right to appeal the finding and corrective action, in accordance with the appeal guidelines established in this policy;
 - The right to be informed in writing of when a decision of the University is considered final, any changes to the sanction to occur before the decision is

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT & TITLE IX

finalized, to be informed of the right to appeal the finding and sanction of the resolution process, and the procedures for doing so in accordance with the standards for appeal established by the University;

- The right to privacy, to the extent possible and permitted by law, and the assurance that information regarding the complaint will be shared only with those necessary.

Appendix E



UNIVERSITY OF SAINT JOSEPH

CONNECTICUT

EMPLOYMENT POLICY 8: DATING POLICY

Approval Date: April 6, 2010; updated January 1, 2018
Responsible Official: Senior Human Resources Administrator 860.231.5390

Related Policies: Sexual Misconduct & Title IX Policy; Harassment & Discrimination Policy

I. Co-Worker Dating

Romantic or sexual relationships between co-workers have the potential to be disruptive to the work environment and are strongly discouraged. Co-workers who do not have a supervisory relationship to each other and who do choose to enter into a romantic or sexual relationship are required to maintain that relationship separate and apart from their employment responsibilities. If the personal relationship interferes with the performance of either employee or otherwise interferes with an effective work environment, management may take all steps that it, in its discretion, deems appropriate, including but not limited to disciplinary action and mandatory reassignment.

II. Supervisor-Employee Dating

A romantic or sexual relationship, even if welcome, between a supervisor who may be in a position to exercise power or authority over the employee or may be perceived as having such power or influence constitutes unprofessional and unethical conduct on the part of the supervisor. Accordingly, the University prohibits such relationships between employees and any member of management in a direct line of responsibility between the employee and the President (the employee's supervisor, the supervisor's supervisor, etc.). Furthermore, a romantic or sexual relationship, even if welcome, between any employee in a supervisory role and any other employee is inappropriate and is strongly discouraged because such a relationship may be harmful to the interests of the employee(s) involved, unfair to other employees and detrimental to an effective, professional working environment.

If a romantic or sexual relationship develops between any employee and any member of management, it is the responsibility and mandatory obligation of the employee with a supervisory/management role to promptly disclose the existence of the relationship to the employee's department senior manager and to the senior Human Resources administrator. The employee may make the disclosure as well, but the burden of doing so is upon the supervisory/management employee.

DATING POLICY

The department senior manager will inform the relevant Vice President(s), senior Human Resources administrator and others with a need-to-know, generally including the employee's direct supervisor. Management may take all steps that it, in its discretion, deems appropriate, up to and including termination of the supervisory/management employee. At a minimum, the employee and supervisor/manager will not thereafter be permitted to work together on the same matters, and the supervisor/manager must withdraw from participation in activities or decisions (including, but not limited to, hiring, evaluations, promotions, compensation, work assignments, and discipline) that may reward or disadvantage any employee with whom the supervisor/manager has or has had such a relationship.

Any person who believes that they have been adversely affected by such a relationship, notwithstanding its disclosure, is encouraged to make their views about the matter known to the Human Resources department or a department senior manager.

III. Employee-Student Dating

Undergraduate Students:

Romantic or sexual relationships between employees and students in the undergraduate program are prohibited. An employee who is in a romantic or sexual relationship with someone applying for admission to the undergraduate program must disclose this relationship to the senior administrator of Human Resources and/or the Dean of Students, who will determine the appropriate course of action.

Graduate Students:

A romantic or sexual relationship, even if welcome, between an employee (faculty or staff) who may be in a position to exercise power or authority over a student in a graduate program or who may be perceived as having such power or influence constitutes unprofessional and unethical conduct on the part of the employee and is strictly prohibited.

Furthermore, a romantic or sexual relationship, even if welcome, between any employee and any student in a graduate program is strongly discouraged because such a relationship may be harmful to the interests of the student involved, unfair to other students and detrimental to the academic process.

If a romantic or sexual relationship develops between any employee and a student in a graduate program, it is the responsibility and mandatory obligation of the employee to promptly disclose the existence of the relationship to the employee's department senior manager. The student may make the disclosure as well, but the burden of doing so is upon the employee. The department senior manager is required to inform the appropriate Vice President(s), relevant department senior manager(s), senior Human Resources administrator and others with a need-to-know, generally including the

DATING POLICY

employee's direct supervisor. Management may take all steps that it, in its discretion, deems appropriate, up to and including termination of the employee.

Any person who believes that they have been adversely affected by such a relationship, notwithstanding its disclosure, is encouraged to make their views about the matter known to the Human Resources department or a Title IX Coordinator/Deputy.

Appendix F

University of Saint Joseph Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence and Stalking Awareness, Prevention and Risk Reduction Activities 2021 Calendar Year

Dept.	Date	Program Title	Audience	Presenter	Type of Programming
TIX	1/20	Stalking Awareness Month	Res. Life Staff	Title IX	Education and Training
HR	Feb 2021	EVERFI Modules	Employees	O	Education and Training
Title IX	2/8	Resident Life: Area Resident Coordinator Title IX Training	Resident Life Staff	Title IX	Training
TIX	2/21	Queer Black History	Campus	O	Awareness and Education
TIX	2/23	Res Life RA Training	Res Life Staff	Title IX	Education and Training
TIX	3.26	Community Resource Meeting	Staff and public members	Title IX	Professional Development and Policy
TIX	4/6	What Were you Wearing	Campus	Title IX	Awareness
TIX SA	July 2021	EVERFI Modules	Students	O	Education and Training
HR	10/14	IPV signs and responses to disclosures	Employees	HR and TIX	Education and Training
HR	7/16	Responses & Resources to Disclosures	P.A. Graduate Students	TIX	Education and Training
TIX	7/20	Cabinet TIX Training	Univ. Cabinet	TIX	Education and Training
TIX HR	11/15	CCADV Coercive Control Law Training	Staff	O	Education and Training

KEY:

ADV – Advisement

AT- Athletics

CWC - Counseling and Wellness Center

HR – Human Resources

HS – Health Services

ORL - Office of Residential Life

PS- Public Safety

SA - Student Affairs

O-Other/Outside Org

*CHRO 2020 Sexual Harassment employee training requirements

Appendix G

Incidents Reported to the University and Final Outcomes for the 2019 Calendar Year

University of Saint Joseph (West Hartford & Pharmacy Campus)							
	Student				Employee		
Type	Number of Incidences Reported	Number of Confidential/ Anonymous Reports	Number of Disciplinary Cases	Outcome	Number of Incidences Reported	Number of Confidential/ Anonymous Reports	Number of Disciplinary Cases
Sexual Assault	1	1	0	Anonymous	0	0	0*
IPV	1	0	0	Non-student. Campus ban	0	0	0*
Stalking	0	0	0		0	0	0*
Other related					0	0	0*